#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 127 564 CS 002 879

AUTHOR Gross, Susan; And Others

TITLE An Assessment of the State Agency Component of the

Right to Read Program. Volume III, Data Collection

Instruments. Final Report.

INSTITUTION Applied Management Sciences, Inc., Silver Spring,

Md.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C. Office

of Planning, Budgeting, and Evaluation.

REPORT NO G-61
PUB DATE Jun 76
CONTRACT 300-75-0263

NOTE 90p.; See related documents CS 002 877 and CS 002

878

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$4.67 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Data Sheets; Elementary Secondary Education;

\*Evaluation Methods; \*Measurement Instruments; Program Evaluation; \*Questionnaires; \*Reading

Programs; \*State Programs

IDENTIFIERS \*Right to Read

#### ABSTRACT

The data collection instruments used to gather information on the state Right to Read Program, during the periods from 1972 to 1973 and from 1973 to 1974, are presented in this volume. Questionnaires included assess the roles and opinions of the following key individuals in the state and local levels of program administration: chief state school officer, state Right to Read director, assistant superintendent for instruction, director of the state teacher certification agency, director of the state adult basic education program, chairperson of the state Right to Read advisory council, local district Right to Read director, district superintendent, assistant superintendent, principal/director, teacher, and local district adult basic education director.

(Author/KS)



#### U.S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

G-61

# AN ASSESSMENT OF THE STATE AGENCY COMPONENT OF THE RIGHT TO READ PROGRAM

FINAL REPORT

VOLUME III

DATA COLLECTION INSTRUMENTS

June 1976

Prepared for:

U.S. Office of Education Office of Planning, Budgeting, and Evaluation

Under Contract No.: OEC 300-75-0263



Susan Gross, Ph.D., Alan Cohen, M.A., Authors:

Steven M. Frankel, Ed.D., Jacquelyn Troup, M.A., Peter Novalis, Ph.D., Vicky Collins, B.S.

Project Director: Steven M. Frankel, Ed.D.

Co-Principal Investigators: Susan Gross, Ph.D.

Alan Cohen, M.A.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Applied Management Sciences wishes to express its sincere gratitude to Dr. Robert C. Hall, Project Officer, for his input and review on all project plans and deliverables, and to the Advisory Panel:

> Ira E. Aaron, Ph.D. Leo C. Fay, Ph.D. Reynolds Ferrante, Ed.D. Reubon Harris, Ph.D. Oliver Patterson, Ed.D. Ralph C. Staiger, Ed.D.



### **FOREWORD**

This is Volume III of an "Assessment of the State Agency Component of the Right to Read Program - Final Report." This volume contains the data collection instruments which were used to collect the information described in Volume I - National Findings  $\frac{1}{2}$  and Volume II - State Profiles  $\frac{2}{2}$ . The data collection instruments are presented in this volume in the following order:

- Chief State School Officer Questionnaire;
- State Right to Read Director Questionnaire Part A;
- State Right to Read Director Questionnaire Part B;
- State Assistant Superintendent for Instruction Questionnaire;
- Director, State Teacher Certification Agency Questionnaire;
- Director of State Adult Basic Education Program;
- Chairperson, State Right to Read Advisory Council Questionnaire;
- Local District Right to Read Director Questionnaire;
- District Superintendent/Assistant Superintendent for Instruction Questionnaire;
- Principal/Director Questionnaire
- Teacher Questionnaire; and
- Local District Adult Basic Education Director Questionnaire.



i

Gross, S., et al., An Assessment of the State Agency Component of the Right to Read Program, Volume I - National Findings. Applied Management Sciences, Silver Spring, Maryland. June, 1976, Under Contract No.: OEC 300-75-0263.

Gross, S., et al., An Assessment of the State Agency Component of the Right to Read Program, Volume II - State Profiles. Applied Management Sciences, Silver Spring, Maryland. June, 1976, Under Contract No.: OEC 300-75-0263.

LD. Vo.	0.M.B. No.	51-575074
	Approval Exp	ires <u>6/76</u>

## CHIEF STATE SCHOOL OFFICER QUESTIONNAIRE

Is Ri Board	ight to Read cited as one of the major educational objectives of the State i of Education plan or similar document?
(	T) Tes
(:	Z) No
Was a (Mari	an official proclamation in support of the Right to Read effort issued by: c all that apply)
	The Governor's office
	The legislative branch
	Your office
	Another State Educational Agency office
	A professional organization, specify
	Other, specify
	None of these
arrar	are the major Changes (e.g., changes in personnel, line and staff agements, etc.,) that have taken place in the administration at the State as a result of the Right to Read Program in your State?
What	are the problem areas of the Right to Read Program as you see it?
Do yo repor Right	ou inticipate making any significant changes in administrative itructures, tring relationships, budgets, or any other important facets of the State to Read Program during the next few years? Please specify.
	effect would loss of the State's Right to Read grant have on your State's .ng program?





		•	
		<del></del>	
•	ease rate the National Right to Read objectives the implementation of your State's Right to Read objectives the important and the five least important in the appropriate the state of the contract of the state of t	i program. (Me.	ir important rk the five
	•	The five most important in your State	The five import in your S
	National Right to Read Objectives	(1)	(2)
1.	Develop a comprehensive plan to encompass all activities to move toward the elimination of illiteracy.		
2.	Assess the needs, resources, and direction of reading in the State Agency in relation to the Right to Read Program.		. $\square$
3.	Conduct a Statewide assessment of the state-of-the-art of reading.		
•	Establish goals and objectives and develop strategies for reaching them.		
	Devise a system to deliver organizational and instructional strategies between State and local educational agencies.		
5.	Select local educational agencies which are representative of the geographic location and student population of the State to participate in the program and secure specific agreements for their participation.		<u> </u>
7.	Provide training for local educational agency Right to Read Directors.		
	Assist local educational agencies in assessing needs of pupils, teachers, and institutions, and aid them in building and evaluating reading programs using appropriate Right to Read materials.		
9.	Establish a "Standard of Excellence" to provide criteria for reading program development and evaluation for local school districts.		
	Provide technical assistance in the areas of assessment, planning, building, and operating programs, and in evaluating program results.		
11.	Identify, validate, and disseminate promising programs developed within the State.		
.2.	Develop State Right to Read dissemination vehicles.		
	Sponsor State conferences and workshops on Right to Read.		
	Develop multiplier effects in Right to Read by encouraging cooperation across agencies at the State and local levels.		
LS.	Use Right to Read materials to involve the educational community and the private sector in the process of reading and in State and community level volunteer activity.		
16.	Review and evaluate teacher certification requirements with respect to reading and urge		





I.D.	No.	1				

U.M.D. 40	-4-3/30/+
Approval Expires	5/76

## STATE RIGHT TO READ DIRECTOR QUESTIONNAIRE

#### Part A

	se fill our completely and hold until the proje	CE ENCETULE:	v izam artives.
Pers	onal Data		
1.	Age	(5)	40-44
	(1) 20-24		45-49
	(2) 25-29	(6)	
	(3) 50-54	(7)	50 and over
	(4) 35-39	(8)	No response
2.	Sex		
	(1) Female		
	(7) Male		
<b>3</b> .	Salary Range (Mark only one)		
	(1) Under \$10,000 yr.	(4)	\$20,000-\$24,999 yr.
	(2) S10,000-S14,999 yr.	(5)	\$25,000 yr. and over
	(3) S15,000-\$19,999 yr.	(6)_	No response
4.	What is your highest educational degree? (Man	k only one)	
	(1) 3.A. or B.S.	(5)	Ph.D.
	(2) M.A. or M.S.	(6)	Ed.D
	[3] Advanced Certificate		Other, specify
	(4) Educational Specialist Degree		
5.	What was your major educational area of specia	alization?	(Mark only one)
	[1] Elementary education	(5)	Educational edministration
	(1) Curriculum development	(0)	Educational psychology
	(3) Reading	(7)	Counseling
	(1) Special education		Other, specify
5.	Which of the following positions have you ever	r held? (Ma	rk all that apply)
••	Teacher		
	Reading specialist		
	Counselor		
	Principal or Dean		
	Reading director		
	Special education staff		
	State administrative staff		
	Local district administrative staff		
	Psychometrician		
	Payer ingride		





. a .	is there	Certificat	ion in your state for the position of. (that of the apply)	
	Re	ading teach	er or instructor	
	Re	ading speci	alist	
	Re:	ading super	visor/director	
	No:	ne of the a	lbove	
ъ.	Are you	certified 5	by the State for the position of: (Mark all that apply)	
	Re	ading teach	ner	
	Re	ading speci	alist	
	Re.	ading super	rvisor/director	
	No:	ne of the a	lbove	
c.	Which ne in your	w certifica State? (Ma	ites were developed as a result of the Right to Read effort irk all that apply)	`
	Re	ading teach	ner	
	Re	ading speci	ialist	
	Re	ading super	rvisor/director	
	No	ne of the a	above	
٠.	How many followin	credit hou g years? (	ers have you taken or are currently taking in reading in the (Mark zero if you did not take any)	
	Number 0	f Credits		
	(A)	(B)		
	Semester	Quarter		
			1975-1976	
			1974-1975	
			1973-1974	
			1972-1973	
			1971-1972	
			Prior to 1971	
e.	courses not take	in the foll any)	ers have you taken or are currently taking in administration/sup- lowing years? Include any courses in management. (Mark sero if	ervision you did
	Number o	f Credits		
	(A)	(3)		
	<u>Semester</u>			
			1975-1976	
		<del></del>	1974-1975	
			1973-1974	
		<del></del>	1972-1973	
			1971-1972	
			Prior to 1971	



3.1. Are you a member of a reading association/country. (Mark and that appry)
State
Local
National
None of the above
b. Is this membership a result of your involvement in the Right to Read Program?
(1) Yes
(2) No
Job Description
<ol> <li>Who was involved in your selection as State Right to Read Director? (Mark all that apply)</li> </ol>
Representatives from:
Chief State School Officer
Governor
State Educational Agency
Institute of Higher Education
State Right to Read Advisory Council
State Right to Read Task Force
State professional reading council
State teacher organization
Other, specify
10.a. How long have you served as State Right to Read Director?
Months
b. How long has your State been participating in Right to Read?
Months
c. Were you employed in the State Educational Agency before you became State Right to Read Director?
(1) Yes
(2) No
4. If yes, how long were you employed in the State Educational Agency before you became State Right to Read Director?
Years, Months
(1) What other positions do you hold in the State Educational Agency? (Mark all that apply)
Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum/Instruction
State Director of Federal Educational Programs
Other, specify
Do not hold any other position in the State Educational Agency.
12.a. How many working hours per week do you spend on Right to Read activities?
Hours per week
b. How many working hours per week do you spend on non-Right to Read activities:
Hours per week



13.	How was your appointment as St (Mark all that apply)	ate Right to Read Direc	tor announced to the public?							
	Press release		•							
	Local radio	] Local radio								
	Introduction at State De	Introduction at State Department of Education								
	Introduction at Right to	Introduction at Right to Read Advisory Council Meeting								
	State newsletter	State newsletter								
	Television									
	Other, specify									
	No announcement made	-								
14.	During the current fiscal year in the appropriate percents)	r, what percentage of yo	our salary comes from: (Fill							
		nds from State Right to	Read grant							
		ls								
	1 State public relations	funds								
	3 State education or curriculum funds									
	Money from other Federally funded programs, specify									
	t Other, specify									
	100; Total									
15.	Who is your immediate supervisor in your position as State Right to Read Director? (Mark only one)									
	(!) Chief State Scho	ool Officer	_							
	(2) State Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum/Instruction									
	(3) Director of Federal Programs									
	(4) Other, specify									
16.a.	Do you have a support staff?									
	(1) Yes									
	(2) No									
<b>b</b> .	If yes, specify number of star	ff in each type and full	-time equivalent.							
			Full-Time							
	Type of Position	Number of Staff (A)	Equivalent (3)							
	Secretarial									
	Evaluation									
	2/2/2007/1	<u> </u>								

Type of Position	Number of Staff (A)	Full-Time Equivalent (B)
Secretarial		
Evaluation		
Training		
Curriculum Development		
Other, specify		
	·	



17.	Which of the following funding sources do you have the responsibility for allocating? (Mark all that apply)
	Source of Funding
	National Right to Read grant to the State
	State-provided Right to Read funds
	Title I, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title II, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title III, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title III, National Defense Education Act
	Title IV, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title V. Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title VII, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Emergency School Aid Act
	Special education
	Vocational education
	Career education
	Other, specify
	None of the above
13.	In which of the following areas have you been consulted on in determining priorities for the expenditure of funds? (Mark all that apply)
	National Right to Read grant to the State
	State provided Right to Read funds
	Title I. Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title II, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title III, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title III, National Defense Education Act
	Title IV, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title V. Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title VII. Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Emergency School Aid Act
	Spec:al education
	Vocational education
	Career education
	Other. specify
	None of the above



19.a.	On the following list, fill in the approximate number of hours in your regular work-week to the nearest hour that you spend working in each capacity as State Right to Read Director.								
	Numbe	r of Hours							
		Writing proposals and reports							
		Developing curricula	•						
		Disseminating program materials	s and inform	ation					
		Conducting in-service training	for teacher	5					
		Conducting training for local	district Rig	ht to Read d	irectors				
		Effecting change in the State's	s certificat	ion requirem	ents for rea	ding teachers			
		Maintaining communication link with other State reading programs							
		Developing the State's comprehe	ensive plan	of action					
	Maintaining communication link with education agencies and resources in the State								
	Conducting public relations activities								
	Providing technical assistance to local districts								
	Working with State Right to Read Advisory Council								
	Working with State Right to Read Task Force								
		Working with State Legislature							
		Other, specify		•	<u> </u>				
ъ.	Please	attach a job description if av	railable.						
		d Training							
20.	How ma	any hours of training were provi	ided to you	by the Nation	nal Right to	Read Office?			
		Training Hours	1972-1973	1973-1974	1974-1975	1975-1976			
	(1)	Not State Director that year			, <b>=</b>				
	(2)	Less than 40 hours							
·•,	(3)	41-120 hours							
	(4)	121-200 hours							
	(5)	201-280 hours							
	161	More than 230 hours							
	(7)	Mone							



21. How useful was your Office of Education Right to Read training in enabling you to assume your responsibilities as State Right to Read Director? (Mark one column per area)

		Very useful	Somewhat useful	Not Useful	The material pre- sented was useful for the role of Right to Read Director, but I already knew it	Not part of my training
	Content Area	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Curriculum development					
	Organization and admin- istration skills					
	Community relations					
	Change agent strategies					
	Interpersonal relations					
	Teaching of basic reading skills					
	Evaluation of reading programs					
	Strategy planning					
	Preparation of adminis- trative support materials for reading programs					
	Development and carrying out of tutoring projects in reading					
	Diagnostic/prescriptive approach					
	Dissemination techniques					
	Other, specify					
22.	In what ways do you feel been improved? (Mark all	your Of:	fice of Edu	cation R	ight to Read training	g might have
	No improvement need					
	It should be shorte					
	it should be longer	r				
	More participant is	volveme	nt in plann	ing of I	raining sessions	
	More variety in pro	esentatio	on modes			
	Different type of ;	presenta	tion mode			
	More interaction be					
	More interaction be					
	A better mastery o					
	More explicit state	ement of	training o	ojective	S cives relate to my i	ob destribrion
	Other, specify					



## State Right to Read Training

23.a.	How many Right to Read training hours are required by the State in a local distric Right to Read Director's: (Mark "0" if none are required)					
	Number of hours:					
	First year of the Right to Read Program					
	Second year of the Right to Read Program					
	Third year of the Right to Read Program					
	Fourth year of the Right to Read Program					
ъ.	. How many university course credit hours are available for this training?					
	Semester hours					
	Quarter hours					
	None None					
٥.	. May the Right to Read training be applied toward certification as a: (Mark all that apply)					
	Reading teacher					
	Reading specialist					
	Reading supervisor/director					
	None of the above					
14.	How many local district Right to Read Directors have completed Right to Read training for the following program years? (Fill in the appropriate number of Directors)					
	First year					
	Second year					
	Third year					
	Fourth year					
. <b>5</b> .	How are local district Right to Read Directors required to make up lost days of training? (Mark all that apply)					
	They may attend corresponding sessions in subsequent training cycles					
	They may listen to audio tapes of the training sessions					
	Other, specify					
	They are not required to make up lost days of training					



26. How much emphasis was placed on the following content areas in the initial State Right to Read training (first year) provided to local district Right to Read Directors? (Mark one box per content area)

	A great deal of emphasis	Emphasized somewhat	Not dealt with at all
Content Area	(1)	(2)	(3)
Curriculum development			<u> </u>
Organization and administration			
Community relations			
Change agent strategies			
Interpersonal relations			
Basic reading skills instruction			
Evaluation of reading programs			
Strategy planning			
Preparation of administrative support materials for reading programs			
Development and carrying out tutoring projects in reading			
Diagnostic/prescriptive approach			
Dissemination techniques			
Other, specify			
How much emphasis was placed on training district Right to Read Director's second, (Mark one box per content area)	in the follows third years of	ng content areas Right to Read to	in the local raining?
•	A great deal of	Emphasized	Not dealt

27.

somewhat with at all emphasis (2) (1) Content Area Curriculum development Organization and administration Community relations Change agent strategies Interpersonal relations Basic reading skills instruction Evaluation of reading programs Strategy planning Preparation of administrative support materials for reading programs Development and carrying out tutoring projects in reading Diagnostic/prescriptive approach Dissemination techniques Other, specify \_\_\_\_



13. Do you require that local district Right to Read Directors submit an evaluation of their training?
(1) <u>Yes</u>
(2) No No
29.a. How many principals in local Right to Read districts were provided training by the State?
Principals
No training was provided to principals
b. How many hours of training were provided?
Haure
30.a. How many teachers in local Right to Read districts were provided training by the State?
Teachers
No training was provided to teachers
b. How many hours of training were provided?
Hours
State Right to Read Data
State Right to Read Data  State Right to Read Jaca  31.a. What are the criteria for determining the distribution of all Right to Read services to local school districts? (Mark all that apply)
Size (i.e., population)
Sthnic composition
Geographic location (e.g., urban, rural, suburban)
Recommendations by State personnel
Results of students' needs assessment
Results of staff needs assessment
Results of instructional system needs assessment
Submission of a comprehensive plan of action by the local school districts
All local districts receive the same amount of support
A.D.A. expenditures
Tax rate for assessed valuation
Other, specify
None of the above
5. Has the use of these criteria led to changes in the amount of funds or supportive services distributed to the local school districts?
(1) Yes
(21 No
c. If yes, specify







32.	What persons or groups are represented on the (Mark all that apply)	State Right to	Read Task For	Ce?
	There is no Task Force			
	Reading specialists			
	Curriculum specialists			
	Library personnel			
	Adult basic education personnel			
	State Right to Read personnel			
	Title L Elementary and Secondary Educati	on Act personn	ei	
	Title II, Elementary and Secondary Educat	ion Act person	nel	
	Title III, Elementary and Secondary Educa	tion Act perso	nnel	
	Title III, National Defense Education Act	personnel		
	Title IV, Elementary and Secondary Educat	ion Act person	nel	
	Title V, Elementary and Secondary Educati	on Act personn	el	
	Title VII, Elementary and Secondary Educa	tion Act perso	nne1	
	Emergency School Aid Act personnel			
	Special education personnel			
	Vocational education personnel	-		
	Career education personnel			
	Other State Educational Agency personnel	, specify		<del></del>
<b>33</b> .	How much emphasis does the State Right to Read activities? (Mark one box per activity)	l Task Force pl	ace on the fol	lowing
		of emphasis	somewhat	with at all
	Activities	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Reviewing Right to Read proposals and plans			
	Generating Right to Read proposals and plans			
	Defining criteria of excellence		=	<u>'</u>
	Evaluating the Right to Read Program		$\overline{\underline{}}$	<u>'</u>
				' '
	Amassing public support		<u>-</u>	<del></del>
	Disseminating Right to Read information			
	Disseminating Right to Read information Making recommendations on selecting textbooks			
	Disseminating Right to Read information  Making recommendations on selecting textbooks and materials  Advising in the development of objectives  Advising in the development of curricula			
	Disseminating Right to Read information Making recommendations on selecting textbooks and materials Advising in the development of objectives			
	Disseminating Right to Read information Making recommendations on selecting textbooks and materials Advising in the development of objectives Advising in the development of curricula Coordinating Right to Read with other reading programs Coordinating reading funds			
	Disseminating Right to Read information Making recommendations on selecting textbooks and materials Advising in the development of objectives Advising in the development of curricula Coordinating Right to Read with other reading programs			
	Disseminating Right to Read information Making recommendations on selecting textbooks and materials Advising in the development of objectives Advising in the development of curricula Coordinating Right to Read with other reading programs Coordinating reading funds			



,	How many persons are there on the State Right of the following groups? (Count each person in There is no State Right to Read Advisory						
!	Public and non-public non-profit elements						
	institutions of higher education						
	<del></del>						
	Professionals in reading and/or related	ireas					
	Other, specify Sees Sight to Seed	Advisory Coun	cil place on	:ne			
5.a.	How much emphasis does the State Right to Read Advisory Council place on the following activities? (Mark one box per activity)						
		Major area of emphasis	Emphasized somewhat	Not dealt with at all			
		(1)	(2)	(3)			
	Activities Reviewing and approving Right to Read						
	proposals and plans	_					
	Reviewing and approving criteria of excellence						
	Reviewing and approving the evaluation of the Right to Read Program						
	Amassing public support						
	Disseminating Right to Read information						
	Making recommendations for selecting textbooks and materials	$\Box$					
	Advising in the development of objectives						
	Coordinating Right to Read with other reading programs						
	Coordinating reading funds						
	Other, specify						
ხ.	If the State Right to Read Advisory Council to above, please explain what additional activity	akes a more acties take place	tive role than	indicated			
36.	How many of the following participated in Rigi program in your State? (Fill in the appropria	nt to Read dur te number in e	ing each year ach category)	of the			
36.	How many of the following participated in Rigi program in your State? (Fill in the appropria Fir Yea	st Second	Third Fo	of the ourth fear			
36.	program in your State: (Fill in the approprie	st Second	Third F	ourth			
36.	program in vour State? (Fill in the appropries Fir Yea	st Second	Third F	ourth			
36.	program in your State? (Fift in the appropries  Fir  Yea  Local school districts	st Second	Third F	ourth			
36.	Fir Yea  Local school districts  Elementary schools  Junior high schools	st Second	Third F	ourth			
36.	program in your State? (Fiff in the appropries  Fir Yea  Local school districts  Elementary schools	st Second	Third F	ourth			



37.	What criteria were used to select local d Read Program in each phase? (Mark all th	istricts p at apply f	articipating or each phase	in the Righ	t to			
	<u>Criteria</u>	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4			
	Prior training of local district Right to Read Directors							
	Previous successful reading programs							
	Representation across urban, suburban, rural areas							
	Needs assessment							
	Number of students							
	Geographical or regional representation							
	Willingness of local districts to comply with terms of agreement/contract							
	Ethnic or racial composition							
	Random selection of school districts							
	Competitive proposals							
	Volunteers							
	Other, specify							
	Joes not apply							
38.1.	By what year do you anticipate that all I in the Right to Read Program? (Mark only	ocal schoo one)	l districts	will be part	icipating			
	School year							
	[1] All are participating now							
	(2) Never							
5.	If any districts have dropped out of participation in Right to Read, what were the reasons? Mark all that apply)							
	None have dropped out							
	Loss of local district Right to Read Director							
	Failure to comply with terms of the State/local district agreement							
	Desire of district to terminate involvement							
	Part of planned rotation of local districts through Right to Read Program							
	Evidence of non-success of Program	in local d	istrict					
	Other, specify							
Plann	ing and Management							
39.	Is there an agreement/contract between the	he State in	nd local scho	ol districts	:			
	[1] Yes							
	(2) No							
10	Do you primarily: (Mark only one)							
	Provide the districts with to carry out the Right to	support an Read Progra	nd direction im and its ob	when they ne jectives?	ed i*			
	(2) Provide them with a comple	te program	to adapt/ado	pt in their	district?			
	1	9						



41.	What provisions are there in the Right to Read Program (Mark all that apply)	tor non-publ	1c \$cnoo1\$?
	Their personnel attend the State's in-service tra	ining sessio	n <b>s</b>
	They receive State Right to Read curriculum mater	ials	
	They have their own Right to Read program		
	They do not participate in the Pight to Read Prog	ram	
	Other, specify		
42.	Mark the areas in which coordination activities with Ri at the State level, (Mark all that apply)	ight to Read	have taken place
	Vocational education Behavior	lisorders	
	Consumer education Adult lite	етасу	
	Career education Disadvanta	ged	
	Gifted Bilingual		
	Slow achiever Handicappe	ed .	
	Educable mentally retarded Pre-school	L	
	Learning disabilities		
<b>43</b> .	Please rate the National Right to Read objectives in to the implementation of your State's Right to Read Progra important and the five least important in the appropria	ite boxes)	E 1246 most
		e five most important your State	The five least important in your State
	National Right to Read Objectives	(1)	(2)
	<ol> <li>Develop a comprehensive plan to encompass all activities to move toward the elimination of illiteracy.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>Assess the needs, resources, and direction of reading in the State Agency in relation to the Right to Read Program.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>Conduct a Statewide assessment of the state-of- the-art of reading.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>Establish goals and objectives and develop strategies for reaching them.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>Devise a system to deliver organizational and instructional strategies between State and local educational agencies.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>Select local educational agencies which are repre- sentative of the geographic location and student population of the State to participate in the program and secure specific agreements for their participation.</li> </ol>		
	<ul> <li>Provide training for local educational agency Right to Read Directors.</li> </ul>		
	3. Assist local educational agencies in assessing need of pupils, teachers, and institutions, and aid them in building and evaluating reading programs using appropriate Right to Read materials.	s	· 🗀
	<ol> <li>Establish a "Standard of Excellence" to provide criteria for reading program development and evaluation for local school districts.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>Provide technical assistance in the areas of assessment, planning, building and operating read- ing programs, and in evaluating program results.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>Identify, validate, and disseminate promising programs developed within the State.</li> </ol>		
	12. Develop State Right to Read dissemination vehicles.		<u> </u>
	<ol> <li>Sponsor State conferences and workshops on Right to Read.</li> </ol>		
	11. Develop multiplier effects in Right to Read by encouraging cooperation across agencies at the State and local levels.		
	15. Use Right to Read materials to involve the educational community and the private sector in the process of reading and in State and community level volunteer activity.		APPLIED MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
	16. Review and evaluate teacher certification requirements with respect to reading and urge reform if		S.E.C.S



Needs Assessment

(If the answer is "O", please go on to question		
Did the State needs assessment include: (Mark a	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	each time period; Since State entered the Right to Read Program
No needs assessment during this time period		
Elementary school population		
Junior high school population		
Senior high school population		
Pre-school population		
Adult population		
Non-public school population		
Teachers		
Other instruction personnel		
Administrative personnel		
Dropout population		
Other, specify	-	
		لــا معاد معاد د
What additional sources were used in establishin each time period)	g needs? (Mark al.	Since State
	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	entered the Right to Read Program
No needs assessment during this time period		
National Right to Read needs assessments and planning package		
National assessment of education progress items		
Previous State needs assessments		
National Center for Education Statistics data		
Other, specify		<del></del>
	s assessment? (Ma	rk all that apply
What types of persons or groups planned the need for each time period)	s assessment? (Mar	rk all that apply
What types of persons or groups planned the need	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	
What types of persons or groups planned the need	Since 1971 but prior to	Since State entered the Right to Read
What types of persons or groups planned the needs for each time period)	Since 1971 but prior to	Since State entered the Right to Read Program
What types of persons or groups planned the needs for each time period)  State Right to Read staff	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	Since State entered the Right to Read Program
What types of persons or groups planned the needs for each time period)  State Right to Read staff Other State Education Agency personnel	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	Since State entered the Right to Read Program
What types of persons or groups planned the needs for each time period)  State Right to Read staff Other State Education Agency personnel Private consultant organizations	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	Since State entered the Right to Read Program
What types of persons or groups planned the needs for each time period)  State Right to Read staff Other State Education Agency personnel Private consultant organizations State Board of Education	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	Since State entered the Right to Read Program
What types of persons or groups planned the needs for each time period)  State Right to Read staff Other State Education Agency personnel Private consultant organizations State Board of Education Legislature	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	Since State entered the Right to Read Program
What types of persons or groups planned the needs for each time period)  State Right to Read staff Other State Education Agency personnel Private consultant organizations State Board of Education Legislature Governor's office	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	Since State entered the Right to Read Program
What types of persons or groups planned the needs for each time period)  State Right to Read staff Other State Education Agency personnel Private consultant organizations State Board of Education Legislature Governor's office Evaluators from colleges/universities	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	Since State entered the Right to Read
What types of persons or groups planned the needs for each time period)  State Right to Read staff Other State Education Agency personnel Private consultant organizations State Board of Education Legislature Governor's office Evaluators from colleges/universities Local district administrators	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	Since State entered the Right to Read Program



	Since 1971 but prior to Right to Read	Since State entered the Right to Rea Program
Formulating policy		
Developing objectives		
Providing documentation for support of Right to Read from the U.S. Office of Education		
Providing documentation for support of Right to Read by the State Department of Education		
Determining priorities for funding allocations		
Developing criteria for selection of local districts		
Developing or modifying curricula		
Supplying information for other agencies or departments in the State		
Requesting allocations of additional State funding for reading		
Other, specify		
How often in the past year have you disse to the following groups/individuals? Fil	l in all the space i	n both columns
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is dyear; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in to the content of the past year.	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at n the past year	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is dyear; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in to 3 - Not at all	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is dear; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in the six times in the group of t	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at n the past year he past year Public Relations	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is dyear; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is dear; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is dyear; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor  State Legislature	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is year; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor  State Legislature  PTA/PTO	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is depart; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor  State Legislature  PTA/PTO  Professional teacher organization	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material a year; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor  State Legislature  PTA/PTO  Professional teacher organization  District School Superintendents	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is year; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor  State Legislature  PTA/PTO  Professional teacher organization  District School Superintendents  National Right to Read Office	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material a year; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor  State Legislature  PTA/PTO  Professional teacher organization  District School Superintendents  National Right to Read Office  Teachers	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past y  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is year; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor  State Legislature  PTA/PTO  Professional teacher organization  District School Superintendents  National Right to Read Office  Teachers  Parents	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past y  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material a year; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor  State Legislature  PTA/PTO  Professional teacher organization  District School Superintendents  National Right to Read Office  Teachers  Parents  Other States	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past v  Trainin s Curricul
to the following groups/individuals? Fil (Place a "1" in the space if the material the past year; a "2" if the material is year; and a "3" if the material has not be the group or individual.  1 - More than six times in 2 - One to six times in to 3 - Not at all  Disseminated to:  State Board of Education  Governor  State Legislature  PTA/PTO  Professional teacher organization  District School Superintendents  National Right to Read Office  Teachers  Parents	l in all the space i is disseminated mor isseminated one to s een disseminated at  n the past year he past year  Public Relations Materials/Progres	n both columns e than six tim ix times in th all the past y  Trainin

Please provide copies of representive samples of all materials disseminated in the past year.



	More than six times in the past year	One to six times in the past year	all in the past year
Means of Dissemination	(1)	(2)	(3)
Printed materials			
Local television			
Local radio			
Local newspaper		<u> </u>	
Professional conferences			
Professional journals			
Community meetings			
PTA/PTO			
Other, specify			
Do you require the local school distrito Read information?  (1) Yes  (2) No	-		
<del></del>			
nical Assistance  Mark the <u>five</u> areas of technical assis most frequently provides to the local	tance that the school distric	State Right to R	ead Program
Needs assessment	Wor!	king with the pri	vate Sector
Program planning and management	Gen	eral managerial s	kills
Individualizing instruction		ent training	
Amassing public support	Com	petency-based sta	ff developme
Classroom management procedures	Po1	icy guidance	
Installing a continuous progress		luation	
organization	Dev	eloping a budget	
Tutor training			
Other, specify			
Who provides the technical assistance apply)	to local schoo	l districts? (Ma	rk all that
State Right to Read staff			
Regional Right to Read director			
Other State administrative personal	onnel		
Reading consultants			
Other consultants			
Staff or teachers from other St		Agencies	
	Las dietricts		
Staff or teachers from other sc	1001 413111413		



54.	district Right to Read Directors have attended in the past year? (Mark only one)
	(1) 3 or less
	(2) 4-10
	(3) More than 10
55.	How often, in the past year, did you and/or your staff speak at local school districts' in-service workshops or similar activities on topics such as approaches to or techniques of reading instruction? (Mark only one)
	(1) 0-9 times
	(2) 10-49 times
	(3) 50-99 times
	(4) 100-200 times
	(5) More than 200 times
valu	action
6.	What kinds of baseline data were collected on students prior to the beginning of the Right to Read Program in your State? (Mark all that apply)
	None
	Reading levels on achievement or diagnostic tests
	Other test results
	Measures of Attitudes
	Measures of Behavior
	Other, specify
7.a.	. Has a formal evaluation been conducted to determine how well the State Right to Read objectives are being met?
	(1) Yes (Please supply a copy of the evaluation report.)
	(2) No
	(If no, go to question 64)
ъ.	. If yes, in what school year?
	Year
c.	. By whom? (Mark all that apply)
	State Right to Read
	National Right to Read
	State Educational Agency evaluation personnel
	External evaluation consultants
	Other State Educational Agency office, specify
	Other, specify
đ.	Are the criteria of excellence used in the evaluation of the State Right to Read
	Program?
	(1) Yes
	(2) No
₹.	Were any standardized tests used as part of the evaluation of the State Right to Read Program?
	(i) Yes
	$\frac{1}{2}$ No $24$
	. The second of
	13



59.	Who received the results of the State evaluation? (Mark all that apply)
	Local school district Right to Read Directors
	State Board of Education
	Chief State School Officer
	General public
	National Right to Read Office
	Other, specify
	None
60.	What kinds of revisions or modifications have been made to the Right to Read Program as a result of the evaluation data? (Mark all that apply)
	Revision in staff assignments or responsibilities of State Right to Read personnel
	Revision of State Educational Agency Right to Read objectives to make them more specific and measurable
	Revision in the focus of Right to Read for greater emphasis on diagnostic prescriptive method for reading instruction
	Development and/or distribution of reading materials for specific content areas
	Revision in training program for local school district Right to Read Directors
	Other, specify
	None
61.	To what extent did revisions or modifications of the Right to Read Program occur?
	(!) Extensive revisions requiring major change of objectives or program
	(2) Minor revisions requiring only slight revisions of objectives or program
	(3) None
62.	What role did local school district Right to Read Directors play in the State evaluation of Right to Read? (Mark all that apply)
	Planning the evaluation strategy
	Conducting the evaluation process
	Providing own assessment
	Analyzing the data
	Other, specify
	Mone
63.a.	Are local school districts required to conduct their own evaluation?
	(I) Yes
	121 No
ъ.	If yes, are they provided with technical assistance in: (Mark all that apply)
	Planning the evaluation strategy
	Conducting the evaluation process
	Analyzing the data
	Interpreting data results
	Other, specify
	Mone Mone
54.	Has a budget allocation been made for a formal evaluation of the Right Read Program in the next year?
	Yes 25
	(2) No

I.D. No.	Approval Expires 6/76

## STATE RIGHT TO READ DIRECTOR QUESTIONNAIRE

Effect of the Right to Read Prog	ram
----------------------------------	-----

Part B					
Effect of the Right to Read Program					
What effect has the State Right to Read (Mark one box per line)	Program had	on attitude	es toward rea	ading?	
	Improved (1)	No Effect (2)	Worsened (3)		
Students' attitudes toward reading				•	
Teachers' attitudes toward reading instruction					
Principals' attitudes toward the reading program					
What effect has the State Right to Read (Mark one box per line)	Program had	on student	's reading h	abits?	
	Increased (1)	No Effect (2)	Decreased (3)		
Time spent in reading					
Library and/or classroom book usage					
What other effect has the State Right to	Increased	No Effect	Decreased	ox per line)	
		<u></u>			
		\	<del></del>		
	<del></del>	<u></u>	بــــا		
(e.g., increased discussion of reading problems and instructional methods)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L		
<pre>Interaction with administrators (e.g., increased communication about   reading programs)</pre>					
What other effect has the State Right to	Read had o	n principals	? (Mark one	box per line)	
	Increased (1)	No Effect (2)	Decreased (3)		
Time spent on administration of reading programs					
<pre>Interaction with teachers (e.g., increased communication with   regard to reading programs)</pre>					
<pre>Interaction with students (e.g., visiting classrooms)</pre>					
Interaction with other administrators (e.g., increased participation in planning reading programs					
	What effect has the State Right to Read (Mark one box per line)  Students' attitudes toward reading Teachers' attitudes toward reading instruction  Principals' attitudes toward the reading program  What effect has the State Right to Read (Mark one box per line)  Time spent in reading Library and/or classroom book usage  What other effect has the State Right to  Time spent in teaching reading  Preparatory time for teaching reading  Demand for teacher aides  Interaction with colleagues (e.g., increased discussion of reading problems and instructional methods)  Interaction with administrators (e.g., increased communication about reading programs)  What other effect has the State Right to  Time spent on administration of reading programs  Interaction with teachers (e.g., increased communication with regard to reading programs)  Interaction with students (e.g., visiting classrooms)  Interaction with students (e.g., visiting classrooms)  Interaction with other administrators (e.g., increased participation in	what effect has the State Right to Read Program had (Mark one box per line)    Improved (1)	What effect has the State Right to Read Program had on attitude (Mark one box per line)    Improved   No Effect (1) (2)	what effect has the State Right to Read Program had on attitudes toward resemble (Mark one box per line)    Improved	





5.	How has Right to Read changed the variety of reading methods in your State? (Mark only one)
	(1) Expanded the number of methods being used
	(2) Restricted reading instruction to a few specific methods
	[3] Not changed the number of methods being used
6.	Since the State began the Right to Read Program, has there been an increase in the number of credit hours required for certification for: (Mark all that apply)
	Reading teacher
	Reading specialist
	Reading supervisor/director
	None of the above
7.	Since the State began the Right to Read Program, has there been an increase in the number of hours of practicum/student teaching/internship for: (Mark all that apply)
	Reading teacher
	Reading specialist
	Reading supervisor/director
	None of the above
<b>5.</b>	Since the State began the Right to Read Program, has there been an establishment of new certification categories for:
	Reading teacher
	Reading specialist
	Reading supervisor/director
	Your of the above
Э.	a. Have any bills been introduced in the State Legislature that might affect the Right to Read Program?
	(1) Yes
	(2) No
	5. If yes, please provide appropriate documentation.



Dissatisfaction with Right to Read objectives  Conflict between Right to Read objectives and other State objectives  School district administrators feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs  Teachers feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs  Some teachers have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  Some district administrators have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  State staff have resisted the leadership of the State Right to Read Director  Local school districts have resisted the leadership of the local district Right to Read Director  Other, specify  To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  (1) Almost all of them have been overcome  (3) None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
Conflict between Right to Read objectives and other State objectives  School district administrators feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs  Teachers feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs  Some teachers have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  Some district administrators have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  State staff have resisted the leadership of the State Right to Read Director  Local school districts have resisted the leadership of the local district Right to Read Director  Other, specify  To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  Almost all of them have been overcome  Some of them have been overcome  None of them have been overcome  None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
School district administrators feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs  Teachers feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs  Some teachers have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  Some district administrators have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  State staff have resisted the leadership of the State Right to Read Director  Local school districts have resisted the leadership of the local district Right to Read Director  Other, specify  To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  Almost all of them have been overcome  21 Some of them have been overcome  31 None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
School district administrators feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs  Teachers feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs  Some teachers have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  Some district administrators have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  State staff have resisted the leadership of the State Right to Read Director  Local school districts have resisted the leadership of the local district Right to Read Director  Other, specify  To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  Almost all of them have been overcome  21 Some of them have been overcome  31 None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
Some district administrators have been slow to accept the Right to Read Strategy  State staff have resisted the leadership of the State Right to Read Director  Local school districts have resisted the leadership of the local district Right to Read Director  Other, specify  To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  11. Almost all of them have been overcome  12. Some of them have been overcome  13. None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
Some teachers have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  Some district administrators have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy  State staff have resisted the leadership of the State Right to Read Director  Local school districts have resisted the leadership of the local district Right to Read Director  Other, specify  To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  11. Almost all of them have been overcome  12. Some of them have been overcome  13. None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
Some district administrators have been slow to accept the Right to Read Strategy  State staff have resisted the leadership of the State Right to Read Director  Local school districts have resisted the leadership of the local district Right to Read Director  Other, specify  To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  (1) Almost all of them have been overcome  (2) Some of them have been overcome  (3) None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
State staff have resisted the leadership of the State Right to Read Director  Local school districts have resisted the leadership of the local district Right to Read Director  Other, specify  To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  iii Almost all of them have been overcome  izi Some of them have been overcome  iii None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
Local school districts have resisted the leadership of the local district Right to Read Director  Other, specify  To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  (1) Almost all of them have been overcome  (2) Some of them have been overcome  (3) None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
To what extent have the above problems been overcome? (Mark only one)  (1) Almost all of them have been overcome  (2) Some of them have been overcome  (3) None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
Almost all of them have been overcome  [2] Some of them have been overcome  [3] None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
Some of them have been overcome  (3) None of them have been overcome  How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
How can Right to Read be improved at the National level? (Mark all that apply)
n . 1
Bet for training should be provided to State Educational Agency Right to Read Directors to carry out responsibilities
More National personnel are needed
Varional personnel should travel to States more often
Warn administrative guidelines are needed from National Right to Read
Tower administrative guidelines are needed from National Right to Read
Greater dissemination of information is needed on how to implement the Right to Read strategy
Other, specify







13. How can	n Right to Read be improved at the State level? (Mark all that apply)
	More staff support is needed for State Educational Agency Right to Read Director to carry out responsibilities
	Incentive money should be provided to encourage participation of reluctant local districts
	Greater dissemination of information on the Right to Read strategy should be provided
	Right to Read Director needs a stronger role in the State Educational Agency Administration.
	More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from the State Educational Agency administration
	More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from the State Board of Education
	More involvement in and support of Right to Rezd are needed from the Chief State School Officer
	Other, specify
Ноч са	n Right to Read be improved at the local district level? (Mark all that apply)
	Local district Right to Read Directors need stronger role in the school district administration
	More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from the school district Right to Read Directors
	More involvement and support are needed from other school district adminis- trators
	More in olvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from principals
	More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from teachers
	More involvement and support are needed from parents/community
	A better evaluation and feedback process is needed
	Greater dissemination of information is needed on the Right to Read strategy
	More workshops, consultants, in-service training and other forms of technical assistance are needed
	Other, specify
15. What w	rould happen to the Right to Read Program in your State if the State no longer red Right to Read funding? The State would: (Mark only one)
(1)	Continue the program without any resources being necessary
(2)	Continue the program using its own resources
(3)	Continue the program only if other resources were available
(4)	



	Excellent	Some improvement needed	Nothing done in this area	
·	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Planning Right to Read Programs				
Conducting needs assessments				
Developing criteria of excellence				
Training of District Right to Read Directors				
Providing technical assistance to District Right to Read Programs				
Evaluating the Right to Read Program				
Supporting reading as a top priori	ity 🔲			
Coordinating State and other non-R to Read funds into the Right to Read effort	light			
	<del></del>			
Modifying non-Right to Read reading programs to fit the Right to Reading effort	18 []	-	<u> </u>	
programs to fit the Right to Rea	id er not suffi	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to med
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort	id er not suffi	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to med
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives	id er not suffi	cient to enal that apply)	ole your St	cate to mea
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary	id er not suffi	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to mee
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary  Travel costs	id er not suffi	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to mee
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary  Travel costs  Support staff	id er not suffi	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to mee
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary  Travel costs  Support staff  Materials and supplies	id er not suffi	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to mee
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary  Travel costs  Support staff  Materials and supplies  Administrative costs	id er not suffi	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to mee
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary  Travel costs  Support staff  Materials and supplies  Administrative costs  Training	id er not suffi	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to mee
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary  Travel costs  Support staff  Materials and supplies  Administrative costs  Training  Consultant agreements	et not suffi ? (Mark all	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to mee
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary  Travel costs  Support staff  Materials and supplies  Administrative costs  Training  Consultant agreements  Contractual services	et not suffi ? (Mark all	cient to enal that apply)	ole your St	ate to mee
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary  Travel costs  Support staff  Materials and supplies  Administrative costs  Training  Consultant agreements  Contractual services  Internal resource utilizatio	et not suffi ? (Mark all	cient to enal	ole your St	ate to mee
programs to fit the Right to Rea effort  In what areas is your current budg Right to Read goals and objectives  Your salary  Travel costs  Support staff  Materials and supplies  Administrative costs  Training  Consultant agreements  Contractual services  Internal resource utilizatio  Dissemination	et not suffi ? (Mark all	cient to enal that apply)	ole your St	ate to mee



I.D. No.	O.M.B. No. \$1-\$7\$074
1.5. 40.	Approval Expires 6/76

STATE ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT FOR INSTRUCTION QUESTIONNAIRE (or similar official responsible for reading instruction, other than the State Right to Read Director)

1.	Are you a member of the State	Right to Read	: (Mark all tha	t apply)	
	Advisory Council				
•	Task Force				
	Neither		-		
2.	How is your division/office 1 State Educational Agency organ	inked to the R nizational str	ight to Read Pro ucture? (Mark o	gram within the nly one)	
	(1) This division/o	ffice supervis	es the Right to	Read Program	
	(2) Right to Read S	upervises this	division/office		
	[3] This division/or to the same div	ffice and Righ ision/office/p	t to Read are of erson within the	equal status a State Education	nd report nal Agency
	(4) This division/o division/office	ffice and Righ	t to Read are co	mbined in a sin	gle
	Other, specify				
3.	Please indicate which of the in during the past year and t	following Righ he degree of p	t to Read activi articipation. (	ties you have p Mark one box pe	articipated r line)
		Participated	Participated t Somewhat	Did Not Participate	No Such
	10	a Great Exten (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Coordinating existing reading curriculum with Right to Read Program				
	Coordinating existing reading funds with Right to Read funds				
	Developing criteria of excellence				
	Preparing Right to Read proposals				
	Developing Right to Read objectives			<u> </u>	
	Implementing Right to Read needs assessment				
	Evaluating Right to Read Prog	ram		=	
	Disseminating Right to Read Information				
	Planning State or regional conferences related to Right to Read				
	Other, specify	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	فسييسا	<u></u>





١.	What type of leadership and authority does the Right to Read Director have to carry out coordination activities? (Mark all that apply)
	Formal authority/leadership vested in position of Right to Read Director
	Informal authority based on prestige of the position
	Informal authority based on prestige of person now holding the position of Right to Read Director
	Informal authority/leadership based on support of the Chief State School Officer
	Authority/leadership based on the use of Right to Read funds
	Authority/leadership based on power to coordinate non-Right to Read funds
	Other, specify
	None
5.	What types of coordination activities have occurred between Right to Read and other reading programs in your State? (Mark all that apply)
	Coordination of planning
	Coordination of evaluation
	Coordination of funds
	Coordination of personnel
	Coordination of curricula
	Coordination of training
	Other, specify
	None
6.	In which of the following areas does the State Right to Read Director assist in determining priorities of expenditure of all reading funds? (Mark all that apply)
	State reading funds
	Title I, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title II, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title III, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title III, National Defense Education Act
	Title IV, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Title VII, Elementary and Secondary Education Act
	Emergency School Aid Act
	Special Education
	Vocational Education
	Career Education
	Adult Education
	Adult Basic Education
	General Educational Development
	Other, specify
	None of the above





7.	(Mark all that apply)	
	Vocational education	
	Consumer education	
	Career education	
	Gifted	
	Slow achiever	
	Educable mentally retarded	
	Learning disabilities	
	3ehavior disorders	
	Adult literacy	
	Pre-school children	
	Other, specify	
	None of the above	
3.	Please rank in order from 1 to 8 the following activities as to how essethey are for success of the Right to Read Program in your State. Use "1 the most essential; "2" for the next most essential to "3" for the least essential.	· IOI
	•	Rank
	Planning and coordination of all reading activities	
	Assessing needs in the area of reading	
	Establishing reading as a top priority	
	Developing, identifying, validating reading programs and activities	
	Training administration in area of reading program development, including in-service and pre-service training, and many of the functions performed by workshops and conferences	
	Training reading staff in area of reading program development, including in-service and pre-service training, and many of the functions performed by workshops and conferences	. ——
	Providing technical assistance to school districts in the areas of needs assessment, planning, and reading program development	
	Evaluating programs to determine how well they are reaching their objectives	



9.a.	Has your State conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the State Right to Read Program?
	(1) Yes
	(2) No
	(If no, go on to question 11)
<b>5.</b>	If yes, in what school year? (Mark all that apply)
	1972-1973
	1973-1974
	1974-1975
	1975-1976
c.	Who conducted the evaluation? (Mark all that apply)
	State Right to Read Office
	This office
	Other State office, specify
	Outside consultants
	Other, specify
d.	If your office conducted an evaluation, was this separate from any Right to Read internal evaluation? (Mark only one)
	(1) Yes
	(2) No
	(3) There was no Right to Read internal evaluation
<b>e</b> .	If yes, have you or will you give feedback to Right to Read based on your evaluation?
	(1) : Yes
	(2) No
10.	What modifications or revisions have been made in the State Right to Read Program as a result of evaluation data?
	No modifications or revisions have been made
	Change in content of program, such as methods of reading instruction
	Change in administrative structure
	Modification of State Right to Read objectives
	Other, specify



Please rate the National Right to Read objectives in terms of their importance in the implementation of your State's Right to Read Program. (Mark the five most important and the five least important in the appropriate boxes)

		The five most important in your State	The five least important in your State
	National Right to Read Objectives	(1)	(2)
1.	Develop a comprehensive plan to encompass all activities to move toward the elimination of illiteracy.		·
2.	Assess the needs, resources, and direction of reading in the State Agency in relation to the Right to Read Program.		
3.	Conduct a Statewide assessment of the state-of-the-art of reading.		
4.	Establish goals and objectives and develop strategies for reaching them.		
5.	Devise a system to deliver organiza- tional and instructional strategies between State and local educational agencies.		
6.	Select local educational agencies which are representative of the geographic location and student population of the State to participate in the program and secure specific agreements for their participation.		
7.	Provide training for local educational agency Right to Read Directors.		
3.	Assist local educational agencies in assessing needs of pupils, teachers, and institutions, and aid them in building and evaluating reading programs using appropriate Right to Read materials.		
9.	Establish a "Standard of Excellence" to provide criteria for reading program development and evaluation for local school districts.		
10.	Provide technical assistance in the areas of assessment, planning, building, and operating reading programs, and in evaluating program results.		<u> </u>
11.	Identify, validate, and disseminate promising programs developed within the State.		
12.	Develop State Right to Read dissemination vehicles.		
13.	Sponsor State conferences and workshops on Right to Read.		
14.	Develop multiplier effects in Right to Read by encouraging cooperation across agencies at the State and local levels.		
15.	Use Right to Read materials to involve the educational community and the private sector in the process of reading and in State and community level volunteer activity.		
15.	Review and evaluate teacher certification requirements with respect to reading and urge reform if necessary.		



12.a.	Have any bills been introduced in the State Legislature that might affect the Right to Read Program?
	[1] Yes
	(2) No
ъ.	If yes, what are they?
	<u> </u>
13.a.	How has Right to Read changed the variety of reading methods in your State? (Mark only one)
	(1) Expanded the number of reading methods being used
	(2) Restricted the number of reading methods being used
	(3) Not changed the number of reading methods being used
ъ.	If the number of reading methods has changed, please specify
14.	Has your State benefited significantly in any way from being part of Right to Read? (Mark all that apply)
	The State has not benefited in any meaningful way
	Much more enthusiasm for reading exists
	More money and supplies are available
	Teachers are more interested in teaching reading
	Other, specify
15.	What would happen if the State no longer received Right to Read funding? The State would: (Mark only one)
	(1) Continue without any resources being necessary
	(2) Continue using its own resources
	(3) Continue only if other resources were available
	(4) Discontinue the program



.i. In general, the State Right to Read Program has been: (Mark only one)						
(Mark only one)  (I)						
The content of the prog		ogram				
The persons implementing						
Other, specify						
17. Please rate the Right to Rea (Mark one box per line)	d Program	in your State i	n the followin	g areas:		
<u>e</u>	xcellent	needed	Nothing done in this area	I am not familiar enough with what has been done in this area		
	(1)	(2) -	(3)	(4)		
Planning Right to Read Programs						
Assessing needs		نـــا	L			
Developing criteria of excellence				· 🗀		
Training of District Right to Read Directors						
Technical assistance to District Right to Read Programs						
Disseminating information, materials						
Evaluating Right to Read Program						
Supporting reading as a top priority						
Coordinating State and other non-Right to Read funds into the Right to Read effort						
Modifying non-Right to Road Programs to fit the Righ to Read effort	·					




		 					 	$\neg$
I.D. N	o. 1					-		İ
	- 1	 		<u> </u>	٠ ا		 	

O.M.B. No.	51-575074
Approval Expires	6/76

## DIRECTOR, STATE TEACHER CERTIFICATION AGENCY QUESTIONNAIRE

1.	positions? (Mark one for each position)						
			Degree require	ments:			
	Position	None (1)	B.A. or B.S. (2)	M.A. or M.S. (3)			
Read	ling teacher:						
	Elementary						
	Junior High						
	Secondary						
Read	ing specialist or resource person:						
	Elementary						
	Junior High			ļ <u> </u>			
	Secondary						
Read	ding supervisor/director:						
	Elementary						
	Junior High	$\equiv$		نـــا			
	Secondary			<u> </u>			
ı.	What is the current number of seme- methodology courses required for co (Fill in all lines that apply)	ter or q	uarter credit ho ion for the foll	urs in reading theor owing positions?			
	•		Number of semester credit hours required	Number of quarter credit hours required			
Read	ding teacher:			•			
	Elementary						
	Junior high						
	Secondary						
Read	Secondary  ding specialist or resource person:		<del></del>	مسييت			
Read							
Read	ding specialist or resource person:						
Read	ding specialist or resource person:						
	ding specialist or resource person:  Elementary  Junior high						
	ding specialist or resource person:  Elementary  Junior high  Secondary						
	ding specialist or resource person:  Elementary  Junior high  Secondary  ding supervisor/director:						





<b>3</b> .	What is the number of years of total teaching experience required for certification for the following positions? (Fill in all lines that apply)
J.	certification for the following poor Reading specialist or resource person:
	Elementary
	Junior high
	Secondary
	Reading supervisor/director:
	Elementary
	Junior high
	Secondary
4.	How have State certification requirements in reading changed in the past two years? (Mark all that apply)
	incomes for reading teacher
	Increase in requirements for reading specialist or resource person  Increase in requirements for reading specialist or resource person  Establishment of a certification for reading specialist or resource person
	Establishment of a certification for the supervisor/director
	Establishment of a certification for reading super-
	Other, specify
	No changes made
5.	What changes are pending for State certification requirements in reading?  (Mark all that apply)
	for reading teacher
	Increase in requirements for reading specialist or resource persons  [ Increase in requirements for reading specialist or resource person
	Increase in requirements for reading specialist or resource person  Establishment of a certification for reading supervisor/director
	Establishment of a certification for reading supervisor/director  Establishment of a certification for reading supervisor/director
	Other, specify
	No changes contemplated





Cet	. To what extent can the following changes, or proposed changes, in reading certification requirements be attributed to the Right to Read Program? (Mark one box per line)						
	•	To a great extent (1)	To some extent (2)	Little or no extent (3)	No changes made or proposed (4)		
Change	<u>in</u> :						
Degree :	requirements for reading her		<u> </u>		·		
	requirements for reading ialist						
	requirements for reading rvisor/director						
	hours in reading courses reading teacher						
	nours in reading courses reading specialist						
	nours in reading courses reading supervisor/ ctor						
	f teaching experience reading specialist			- 🖂			
Years of for the direction	r teaching experience reading supervisor/ ctor						
7. How	w has the State Right to Re- rtification? (Mark all tha	ad Director t apply)	been invol	lved in the	area of reading		
· 🗀	Worked with universities requirements	s to plan p	rogram char	iges due to	new certification		
	Prepared position paper for certification	advocating	minimum nu	mber of cou	rses to be required		
	Assisted colleges and un models for improving te	niversities acher prepa	in applying	ng for fundi	ng of demonstration		
	Worked with Teacher Certification Committee of State Reading Council to gather information about acceptable levels of teacher preparation						
	Worked with State Teach	er Accredit	ation Agend	y on progra	m approval standards		
	Other, specify			·			
	None of the above						
3. Wes	re you asked to provide info your State's Right to Read	ormation on proposal o	teacher ce r comprehen	ertification isive plan?	in the development		
	(1) Yes						
9. Is	the Right to Read Director tion or program approval?	consulted	on proposed	i changes in	teacher certifi-		
Cal	Yes						
	2; No						



10.	Is some training in reading a certification requirement for all topological following levels in your State? (Mark all that apply)
	Elementary
	Junior High
	Secondary
	None of these
11.	In the past two years, what has been the trend in persons granted reading certification? (Mark only one)
	(!) Many more granted than before
	(1) A few more granted than before
	(3) No more than usual granted
	(4) Less than usual granted





	 			<del></del>	0.M.B. No.	51-S75074	
.D. No.	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Approval Exp		

#### DIRECTOR OF STATE ADULT BASIC EDUCATION PROGRAM

1.	About how many hours per month do you spend on activities related to the State Right to Read Program?
	Hours per month
2.	Does the Adult Basic Education Program have a representative on the State Right to Read: (Mark all that apply)
	Advisory Counci:
	Task Force
	Neither
<b>5</b> .	How often do you and the State Right to Read Director consult on matters regarding the administration of adult reading programs? (Mark only one)
	(1) At least weekly (4) Infrequently
	(2) Two or three times a month (5) Never
	(3) Monthly
4.	On you feel you understand the goals and objectives of the State Right to Read Program?
	(1) - Yes
	. 21 No
5. a	Has the State Right to Read Program addressed adults' reading needs?
	.;; Yes
	Z) No
b.	If yes, how?
-	
).a	. Are the State Right to Read goals and objectives congruent with the goals and objectives of the Adult Basic Education Program? (Mark only one)
	Yes, almost all of them are
	(2) Some are, some are not
	[3] So, they are not congruent
٠ د	. Please specify any incongruence
1	Has a State reading needs assessment of the adult population been done?
	Yes Yes
	(z) No
э.	. If yes, who planned the needs assessment? (Mark all that apply)
	State Adult Basic Education personnel
	State Right to Read personnel
	Other State personnel
	Other, specify
<b>3</b> .	How many about Basic Education teachers are there in the State?
•	**************************************





	iny Adult Basic Education teachers in your State received iny technic cance from the State Right to Read Program in the areas of: (Fill in camate number of teachers for each applicable area)	the
	Needs assessment	
	New and/or innovative approaches to teaching reading	
	Curriculum development in the area of reading	
	Incorporation of the Right to Read strategy in the teaching of subje	cts
<del></del>	other than reading	
	Instructional materials development	
	Other, specify	
	None of the above	
b. Was t	his technical assistance specifically geared for the instruction of a	dults?
11	Yes	
: 2	No	
D.a. Have	you attended any workshops or conferences sponsored by Right to Read?	
• :	Yes	
! 2	No.	
5. If ye	s, how many workshops or conferences in the past year?	
	Workshops/conferences	
c. Was a	dult literacy covered as a separate topic in these meetings?	
;1	Yes	
:2	No No	
11.a. Has a the a	ny evaluation been conducted in your State to determine the effective dult component of the $\mathfrak Z$ tate Right to Read Program?	ness of
ý I	Yes	
: 2	1 No	
o. If ye	s. by whom? (Mark all that apply)	
	State Right to Read personnel	
	State Adult Basic Education personnel	
	National Right to Read personnel	
	Other, specify	
i. Was :	his evaluation: (Mark only one)	
:	Part of in overall Right to Read evaluation?	
;:	A separate adult evaluation?	
: 3	Otner. specify	
d. Did y	ou receive the results of the evaluation?	
į <b>i</b>	Yes	
• 2	No No	
s. That State	revisions or modifications of the Adult Basic Education Programs in $\gamma$ were made as a result of evaluation data? (Mark all that apply)	11 <b>1</b>
	Change in method of reading instruction	
	Change in staff assignments or responsibilities of Adult Basic Educa personnel	-:cn
	Modification of objectives of Adult Basic Education programs	
	Other, specify	APPLIED
	None	SCIENCES



12. Please rate the Right to Read Program in your State in the following areas: (Mark one box per line)

(Mark one box per line)	Excellent (1)	Some improvement needed (2)	Nothing done in this area	I am not familiar enough with what has been done in this area
Planning Right to Read Programs				
Needs assessment		<del></del>		
Developing criteria of excellence				
Training of District Right to Read Directors				
Technical assistance to District Right to Read Programs		二		
Dissemination of program materials				
Program evaluation			<u></u>	
Supporting reading is a top priority				
Coordinating State and other non- Right to Read funds into the Right to Read effort				<del></del>
Modifying non-Right to Read reading programs to fit the Right to Read effort				



	O.M.R. Vo	51-575074
I.D. No.	Approval Exp	ires <u>6/76</u>

CHAIRPERSON, STATE RIGHT TO READ ADVISORY COUNCIL QUESTIONNAIRE

1.a.	Who s	elected the State Advisory Council members? (Mark all that apply)
		Chief State School Officer
		State Educational Agency Assistant Superintendent for Instruction (or similar official whose responsibilities cover the instruction of reading)
		State Right to Read Director
		Other State Educational Agency personnel
		Governor or Legislature
		National Right to Read Office
		Chairperson of the Advisory Council (yourself)
		Other (specify)
		None of the above
ъ.	To wh	om does the Advisory Council report? (Mark all that apply)
		Chief State School Officer
		State Educational Agency Assistant Superintendent for Instruction (or similar official whose responsibilities cover the instruction of reading)
		State Right to Read Director
		Other State Educational Agency personnel
		Governor or Legislature
		National Right to Read Office
		Chairperson of the Advisory Council (yourself)
		Other (specify)
		None of the above
	How 1	any members does the Advisory Council currently have?
		is the composition of the Advisory Council? number of persons)
а.	иштре	r of:
		Men
		Women
ъ.	Ушть е	r of:
		Blacks (not of Hispanic origin) American Indians or Alaskan natives
		Hispanic Whites (not of Hispanic origin)
		Asians or Pacific Islanders
c.	Numbe	
		Teachers Local district administrative personnel
		Reading specialists Adult Basic Education personnel
		Library personnel Teacher organization representatives
		PTA/PTO representatives State Right to Read personnel
		Other parentsOther State Educational Agency personnel
		Students Institute of higher education personnel
		Business organizations Other. specify





4.	Which of these	groups do you represent? (Ma	rk only	one)	
••	(01)	Teachers	(09)		Adult basic education personnel
	(02)	Library personnel	(10)		Teacher organizations
	(03)	PTA/PTO			a nist on Boad
	(04)	Other parents	(11)		State Right to Read personnel
	(05)	Students	(12)		Other State Educational Agency personnel
	(06)	Local district administrative personnel	e (13)		Other, specify
	. (07)	Institute of higher education personnel	•		
	(08)	Business organizations			
5.	How many days	per year do you spend on Righ	t to Rea	ad acti	vities?
	Days per				
ó.	For how many :	months has the Advisory Counci	1 been	in exis	stence?
	Months				
<i>7</i> .	How many time	s per year does the Advisory (	Council	meet?	
	Times p				
<b>3</b> .	What was the	average attendance at Advisor)	/ Counci	l meet	ings over the last year?
	1			-	
y.,a	. Are Advisory	Council meetings open to the p	public?		
	(1)	Yes, always			
	(2)	Sometimes			
	(3)	No, never			·
ь	. If meetings a	ire open, what is average numb	er of no	n-memb	ers at each meeting?
	Non-mem				
10.	How are the : (Mark only or	members of the Advisory Counci	1 compen	nsated	for their participation?
	(I)	Expenses reimbursed			
	(2)	No compensation			
		Other compensation, specify			



11.		the three most important duties and responsibilities of the State Right to Advisory Council
		Planning of State Right to Read program
		Defining criteria of excellence
		Evaluating State Right to Read program
		Amassing public support
		Disseminating information to public
		Selecting textbooks, materials
		Developing objectives
		Developing curriculum
		Coordinating Right to Read with other reading programs
		Coordinating reading funds
		Other, specify
12.	In wh	at way do you feel the Advisory Council could improve its contribution to ight to Read Program in your State? (mark all that apply)
		No improvements necessary
		Increase decision-making responsibilities of Council
		Decrease decision-making responsibilities of Council
		Report to another person, specify
		Increase size of Council
	$\equiv$	Decrease size of Council
		Increase representation of minority groups and/or women
		Recruit members with more professional experience in the field of reading
		Recruit more members from outside professional reading field
		Meet more frequently
		Get the public to contribute more ideas and support to the Council
		Other, specify







13. Please rate the Right to Read Program in your State in the following areas: (Mark one box per line)

	Excellent (1)	Some improvement needed (2)	Nothing done in this area	Not familiar enough to say (#)
Planning Right to Read Programs				
Conducting needs Assessment(s)				<u> </u>
Developing criteria of excellence				
Training of District Right to Read directors				
Providing technical assistance to District Right to Read Programs				
Disseminating program material	s			
Evaluating program			<u></u>	\
Supporting reading as a top priority		<u> </u>		
Coordinating State and other non-Right to Read funds into the Right to Read effort				
Modifying non-Right to Read reading programs to fit the the Right to Read effort				$\Box$



I.D.	No.		:	;			:
		1 :	:		- 1		:

O.M.B. No.	51-575074
Approval Exp	ires6/76

### LOCAL DISTRICT RIGHT TO READ DIRECTOR QUESTIONNAIRE

Person	nal_Data	
1.	Age	
	(1) 20-24	(5) 40-44
	(2) 25-29	(a) 45-49
	(3) 30-34	[7] S0 and over
	(4) 35-39	(8) No response
2.	Sex	
	(1) Female	
	(2)   Male	
3.a.	Salary Range (Mark only One)	
	(1) Under \$10,000 yr.	(4) 520.000-524,999 yr.
	(2)   \$10,000-\$14,999 yr.	[5] \$25,000 yr. and over
	(3) \$15,000-\$19,999 yr.	(6) No response
5.	Is your contract for: (Mark only on	(0)
	(1) 9 months or less	(4) 12 months
	(2) 10 months	(5) None of the above
	(3) 11 months	•
٤.	Are you tenured in the district?	
	(1) Yes	
	(2) No	
4.	What is your highest education degre	ee? (Mark only one)
	(1) B.A. or B.S	(5) Ph.D.
	(2) M.A. or M.S.	(5) Ed.D.
	(3) Educational Specialist Degree	Other, specify
	(4) Advanced Certificate	
5.	What was wour major educational area	of specialization? (Mark only one)
	(1) Elementary Education	(5) Educational psychology
	(2) Curriculum development	(6) Counseling
	(3) Reading	(7) Educational administration
	(1) Special education	Other, specify



49



0.1.	Are you certified by the State as a: (Mark all that apply)
	(1) Reading teacher
	[2] Reading specialist
	[3] Reading supervisor/director
	(4); None of the above
ъ.	How many credit hours have you taken or are currently taking in the academic years: (Mark zero if you did not take any)
	Number of Credits
	(A) (B)
	Semester Quarter
	1975-1976
	1974-1975
	1973-1974
	1971-1972
	prior to 1971
٤.	How many credit hours have you taken or are currently taking in administration/
٠.	supervision in the following academic years? You may include courses in manage-
	ment. (Mark tero if you did not take any) Number of credits
	(A) (B)
	Semester Quarter
	1975-1976
	1974-1975
	1973-1974
	1972-1973
	prior to 1971
7.	Which of the following positions have you ever held? (Mark all that apply)
	Teacher
	Reading Specialist
	Counselor
	Principal or Dean
	Reading Director (other than your Right to Read position)
	Special Education
	State Administrative Staff
	The state of the s
	Psychometrician
	Other, specify
3.a.	Are you now a member of a reading association/council? (Mark all that apply)
	State
	Local
	National
	None of the above
٥.	Is this membership a result of your involvement in the Right to Read program?
	$(t) \longrightarrow Yes$ 50
	(2) No
	APPLIED MANAGEMEN



100 ne	seription .
9.a.	How long have you served as local district Right to Read Director?  Months
ъ.	How long has your district been participating in Right to Read?  Months
c.	How long were you employed in this district before you became local district Right to Read Director? (Mark only one)
	Months
	Was not employed in this district before becoming Right to Read Director
10.a.	Is your position as a local district Right to Read Director: (Mark only one)
	(1) Full-time
	(2) Part-time
ъ.	If part-time, how many hours per week do you spend on Right to Read activities?
	Hours/week
c.	How many hours per week, outside your duties as Right to Read Director, do you spend in the following positions? (Fill in the number of hours for all that apply)
	Teacher
	Reading Specialist
	Counselor
	Principal
	Other district level administrator
	Other, specify
11.	How was your appointment as local district Right to Read Director announced to the public? (Mark all that apply)
	Press release
	Local radio
	Announcement from superintendent to local schools
	Special announcement it Board of Education meeting
	District newsletter
	Teacher meeting
	Television
	Other, specify
	No announcement made
12.	Who is your immediate supervisor in your position is local district Right to Read Director? (Mark only one)
	(!) Principal
	(2) Local District Assistant Superintendent
	(3) Local District Superintendent
	Other, specify



13.	How many of the following types of p for whom you have primary responsible in the appropriate number for each C	lity t ategor	λ) or berιoι	mance eval	ervise Luation)	(people ? (Fill
	Do not have a support staff		Training			-
	Secretarial		Right to	Read tead	hers	
	Curriculum			ading spec		
	Evaluation		Other, s	pecify		
14.	In which of the following areas have for expenditures? (Mark all that > 5	olv)	een consu	ited on in	determi	ining priorities.
	Local District Right to Read f	unds				
	State Right to Read funds					
	Title I. Elementary and Second	lary Ed	ucation A	ct		
	Title II, Elementary and Secon	dary E	ducation	Act		
	Title III, blementary and Seco	ndary	Education	Act		
	Title III, National Defense Ed	lucatio	n Act			
	Title IV, Elementary and Secon	dary E	ducation	Act		
	Title VII, Elementary and Seco	ndary	Education	Act		
	Emergency School Aid Act					
	3pecial Education					
	Vocational Education			_		
	Career Education					
	Other local district funds					
	Other, specify				<del></del>	
	None of the above					
15.	On the following list, rank the amounts district Right to Read Director.	nt of (Mari	time you one box	spend work	king in activity	each activity )
				A great deal of	Some	Little or
				time	time	no time
	Activities			(1)	(2)	(3)
	Writing proposals and reports					
	Administering Right to Read Program					
	Training teachers					
	Observing and supervising teachers			<u></u>		
	Developing curriculum					<u>'</u>
	Developing Right to Read disseminati		erials			
	Disseminating program within communi					
	Disseminating program to other distr	icts				
	Evaluating Right to Read Program					
	Instructing in remedial reading					
	Teaching, classroom					
	Visiting classes for other than tead teacher observation	ining (	) T			
	Working with politicians and newspap	ers				
	working with local district Advisory		:i1/	<del></del>		<del></del>
	Unit Task Force					<u> </u>
	Other, specify				<u></u>	<u> </u>



	to kead trasming				
16.	How many class hours of State R one box in each column)		_	d you attend	in: (Mark 1975-1976
	Training Hours	<u> 1972-1973</u>	1973-1974	1974-1973	23/3 20:0
	(1) Not local district Director that year				
	(2) Less than 40 hours			<u></u> -	i
	(3) 40-119 hours				
	(4) 120-199 hours				
	(5) 200-239 hours			لـــا	
	(6) 240-279 hours			<del>-</del>	
	[7] 280 hours or more				i
	(8) None			2 222 423	, ,
	(If no training in any of these	years, ple	ase go on to	question *2	 Dead eraining?
17.a.	Have you received university co	ourse credit	for your St	ate Right to	Read Craiming.
	(1) Yes				
	(2) No				
	. If yes, how many credit hours	did vou rece	ive?		
5.	Number of Hours	<b></b> , <b></b>			
	Semester hours				
	Quarter hours		-		
	<del></del>				1 (lee vare)
18.	How much emphasis was placed of State Right to Read training:	n the follow (Mark one b	ring areas in oox per conte	nt area)	[ (ISC year)
			A great deal of emphasis	Emphasized somewhat	Not dealt with at all
	Content Area		(1)	(2)	(3)
	Curriculum development				
	Organization and administratio				
		n			
		n			
	Community relations	n			
	Community relations Change agent strategies	n			
	Community relations Change agent strategies Interpersonal relations				
	Community relations  Change agent strategies  Interpersonal relations  Basic reading skills instructi	on			
	Community relations  Change agent strategies  Interpersonal relations  Basic reading skills instructive  Evaluation of reading programs	on			
	Community relations  Change agent strategies  Interpersonal relations  Basic reading skills instructi  Evaluation of reading programs  Strategy planning	on			
	Community relations  Change agent strategies  Interpersonal relations  Basic reading skills instructive  Evaluation of reading programs	on			0.00
	Community relations  Change agent strategies  Interpersonal relations  Basic reading skills instructi  Evaluation of reading programs  Strategy planning	on support			0.00
	Community relations  Change agent strategies  Interpersonal relations  Basic reading skills instructi  Evaluation of reading programs  Strategy planning  Preparation of administrative materials for reading programs	on support rams			0.00
	Community relations  Change agent strategies  Interpersonal relations  Basic reading skills instructi  Evaluation of reading programs  Strategy planning  Preparation of administrative materials for reading programs  Development and carrying out of projects in reading	on support rams			



19.	3rd year) State Right to Read training? (Mark one hox ner content area)						
	•			A great deal of emphasis	Emphasized somewhat	Not dealt with at all	
	Content Area			(1)	(2)	(3)	
	Curriculum development						
	Managerial skills						
	Community relations						
	Change agent strategies						
	Interpersonal relations						
	Basic reading skills instru	ction					
	Evaluation of reading progr	ams					
	Strategy planning					<u> </u>	
	Development and carrying ou projects in reading	t tutori	ng				
	Diagnostic/prescriptive app	roach					
	Classroom organization and	manageme	nt				
	Dissemination techniques						
	Other, specify				. —		
20.	How useful was your State R responsibilities as local d	ight to istrict	Read tra Right to	ining in e Read Dire	nabling you to ctor? (Mark	o assume your one box per area)	
		Very useful (1)	Somewhauseful (2)	Not useful (3)	The material presented was useful for tirole of Righ Read Directo but I alread knew it	he t to - r,	
	Curriculum development						
	Managerial skills						
	Community relations						
	Change agent strategies						
	Interpersonal relations						
	Basic reading skills instruction						
	Evaluation of reading programs						
	Strategy planning						
	Preparation of administra- tive support materials						
	Development and carrying out tutoring projects in reading						
	Diagnostic/prescriptive approach						
	Classroom organization and management	=					
	Dissemination techniques						
	Other, specify						
			٠	54		$\bar{X}$	APPLIED MANAGEMENT SCIENCES

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

21.	In what ways do you feel your State Right to E (Mark all that apply)	Read training	could be imp	roved?			
	No improvement needed						
	It should be shorter						
	It should be longer						
	More variety in presentation modes						
	Different type of presentation mode						
	There should be interaction between new Right to Read Directors and those with some experience						
	More interaction between participants						
	More interaction between participants an	d instructors					
	Instructors need better mastery of subje	ct matter					
	More explicit statement of the training	objectives					
	More explicit statement of how the training objectives relate to my job description						
	Principals should be included in training	g					
	Teachers should be included in training						
	Other, specify						
Schoo	1 District Right to Read Data						
22.	How many schools in your district are particip	ating in the R	light to Read	i Program?			
	Number of Schools						
	Elementary schools						
	Junior high schools						
	Secondary schools						
23.	Is there a local district Right to Read Adviso	ry Council?	•				
	(1) Yes						
	(2) No, go on to Question *25.						
24.	How much emphasis does the Right to Read Advis activities? (Mark one pox per activity)	ory Council pl	ace on the f	following			
		Major area of emphasis	Emphasized somewhat	Not dealt with at all			
	Activities	(1)	(2)	(3)			
	Reviewing Right to Read proposals and plans						
	Evaluating Right to Read Program						
	Amassing public support						
	Disseminating information						
	Making recommendations on selecting textbooks and materials						
	Advising in development of curricula						
	Listening to reports						
	Other, specify						





-3.4.	does not include Federal or State funds?	tor Right	to kell	300141010	3 4.126.1	
	(1) <u>Yes</u>					
	(2) No					
	To war when in the seast deltar grows we	bawa is	shie al	location?		
٥.	If yes, what is the total dollar amount you	u nave in	this ar	iocacion:		
	<del></del>					
26.	Please rank order from 1 to 3 all the acti as to how essential they are for success o district. Place a "1" for the most essent to "3" as the least essential activity.	f the Righ	t to Rea	ad Program	in your	
	Planning and constitution of reading	•	:5			
	Assessing needs in the area of readi	-				
	Establishing reading as a top priori  Developing, identifying validated re	•	rams and	d activiti	es	
	Training administration in area of r					
	Training teaching staff in area of r in-service and pre-service training, by workshops and conferences)	eading pro	gram de	velopment functions (	(includes performed	
	Receiving technical assistance from planning, and reading program develo		in areas	of needs	assessment,	
	Evaluating programs to determine how	well they	are rea	ching the	ir objectives	
27.	Does the State Right to Read Program prima	rily: (Ma	rk only	one)		
	(f) Provide you with support and dir out your program and its objection		n needed	i in carry	ing	
	[2] Provide you with a complete prog	ram to ada	pt/adopt	in your o	listrict	
	(3) Provide little or no support of	any type t	o the di	istrict		
23.	is the focus of your district's Right to F (Mark only one)		am prima	rily aimed	lat:	
	(1) Gifted/high achievers of reading	S				
	(2) Average readers					
	(3) Slow achievers in reading					
29.	To what extent are you working with the forwith Right to Read? (Mark one box per ed)	Gicacionia.r	ducation area)	aj areas i	n connection	
		T9 1 great extent	Some-	Not at all ha	We do not we this area	
	Educational Areas	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
	Vocational education					
	Consumer education					
		一				
	Gifted					
	Slow achievers					
	Educable mentally retarded	<u></u>	<del></del>			
	Learning disabilities	<u> </u>		<del></del>		
	Behavior disorders	<u></u>		<u></u>	<u></u>	
	Compensatory education		<u></u>	<u></u>		
	Bilingual		<u></u>			
	Physically handicapped					
	Adult isteracy					
	Pre-school					
	Other, specify					
					ŹX	APPLIED MANAGEMEN SCIENCES



30.	How do the non-public schools in yo to Read Program? (Mark all that ap	ur local distri ply)	st participate i	n your Right
	Their personnel attend our in	-service train	ing sessions	
	They receive curriculum mater	ials		
	They receive support services			
	They are represented on the R	light to Read Ad	lvisory Council	
	They do not participate			
	They have their own Right to	Read Program		
	Other, specify			
31.	How much participation have you had (Mark one box per activity)	in the follow	ing activities in	your district?
		A great deal of Participation	Some Participation	No Participation
	Activities	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Planning the Right to Read Program			
	Conducting Right to Read activities			
	Providing independent assessments of the Right to Read Program			
	Analyzing progress data	$\Box$		
	Observing Right to Read classrooms		. $\square$	
	Revising or modifying the Right to Read Program based on evaluation or other data			
	Changing administrative methods of the Right to Read Program			
	Modifying school objectives to make them more measurable and specific			
	Other, specify			



32.	activities? (Mark one box per act	ivity)	, critic had in the	
		A great deal of Participation	Some Participation	No Participation
	Activities	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Planning the Right to Read Program			
	Conducting Right to Read activities	· 🗀		
	Providing independent assessments of the Right to Read Program			
	Analyzing progress data			
	Observing Right to Read classrooms			
	Revising or modifying the Right to Read Program based on evaluation or other data			
	Changing administrative methods of the Right to Read Program			
	Modifying school objectives to make them more measurable and specific			
	Other, specify			
33.	If a needs assessment has been per- Program, what populations were inc	formed as part of luded? (Mark a	of your district'    that apply	s Right to Read
	No needs assessment has been performed	Adul	e population	
	Non-public school population	Teac	her population	
	Pre-school population	Publ	ic school populat	ion
	Dropout population	Othe	r, specify	
34.	Did you find the needs assessment to Read plans? (Mark only one)	data useful in	formulating your	district's Right
	(!) Very useful			
	(2) Somewhat useful			
	(3) Not at all useful			
_				
35.	emination How often in the past year have Rinny of the following vehicles? (M	ght to Read act ark one box per	ivities been pres line.)	ented through
		More that six time in the part(1)	s times	Not at all in the past year (5)
	District newsletter or similar publication			
	Local media not sponsored by your district			
	Conferences sponsored by your district			
	Presentations at professional meetings			
	PTA, PTO meetings			·
	Other, specify			
		13		



36. 7.	iow many times in the past year have explain or discuss the Right to Re	ve you met with tad Program?	the PTAs in your	district to
	Number of times			
<b>5</b> . !	flow many times in the past year har or discuss the Right to Read Progr. Number of times	ve you met with am?	the Board of Edu	carion to explain
	<del></del>			
	cal Assistance  Has the technical assistance you h	owe received fro	m the State Righ	t to Read Program
37.3.	Has the technical assistance you n been sufficient in the following a	reas? (Mark one	box per line)	
	•	Fully sufficient for district needs (1)	Barely sufficient for district needs (2)	Not at all sufficient for district needs (3)
	Individualizing instruction	<del></del>		
	Amassing public support			
	Training tutors		<u></u>	
	Working with the non-public school sector			
	To lining in program management			
	Educating parents		L	
	Evaluating program			<u></u>
	Other(s)			
٥.	If any area is checked, "not at a	ll sufficient fo	r district needs	," please explain
	the problem			
				<u> </u>
	Did you actively seek this techni	cal assistance?		
c.				
	(1) Yes			
	(2) No		ata Sesen Di	ghe to Read that
d.	Are you ever provided with techni you did not request?	ical assistance t	rom the state wa	girt to made and
	(1) Yes			
	(2) No			
33.	How many times in the past year or similar activities on topics instruction? Number of times	did you or your : such as approach	staff conduct in es to or techniqu	service workshops les of reading



Evalu	uation .
39.	What kinds of baseline data were collected on students Prior to the beginning of the Right to Read Program in your district? (Mark all that apply)
	None Attitude measures
	Reading level on achievement or Behavior measures diagnostic test
	Other tests results Other, specify
40.3.	Has a formal evaluation been conducted in your district to determine how well your district Right to Read objectives are being met?
	(1) Yes. Please supply a copy of the evaluation report.
	(2) No
ъ.	If yes, in what school year?
c.	B whom? (Mark all that apply)
	State Right to Read
	Local district
	National Right to Read
	Other, specify
d.	If other than district, did you receive information regarding the criteria for evaluation prior to the evaluation?
	(1) Yes
	(2) No
41.	If your local district conducted an evaluation, was it assisted in this process by the State Right to Read?
	(1) Yes
	(2) No
42.	Was formal testing used as part of the evaluation of the orogram?
	(1) Yes
	(2) No
43.	What kinds of revisions or modifications have been made to your Right to Read Program as a result of evaluation data? (Mark all that apply)
	No revisions or modifications have been made
	Change in method of reading instruction
	Change in staff assignments or responsibilities

Change in staff assignments or responsibilities

Modification of local district Right to Read objectives to make them more specific and measurable

Other, specify

Describe the most significant procedural policy change(s) resulting from past Right to Read evaluations.



45.	Has a budget allocation been made for a formal of to Read Program in the next year?	evaluation o	of the dist	rict's Right
	(1) Yes			
	(2) No			
Effec	t of the Right to Read Program			
46.	What effect has the Right to Read Program had on (Mark one box per line)	n attitudes	in your di	strict?
	(Mark one box por 11.0)	Improved		
	•	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Students' attitudes toward reading			
	Teachers' attitudes toward reading instruction			<u>  </u>
	Principals' attitudes toward the reading progra	<b>.</b>		
47.	What other effect has the Right to Read Program habits in your district? (Mark one box per lin	n had on stu ne)	denti' read	ding
		Increased	No effect	Decreased (3)
		(1)	(2)	(2)
	Time spend in reading		<u> </u>	
	Library and/or classroom book useage	Li	!i	<u></u>
48	What other effect has the Right to Read Program (Mark one box per line)		No effect (2)	
	Time spent in teaching reading			
	Preparatory time for teaching reading			
	Demand for teacher aides	لــا		لـــــا
	<pre>Interaction with colleagues (e.g., increased     discussion of reading problems and     instructional methods)</pre>			
	Interaction with administrators (e.g., increase communication about reading programs)			
49,	What other effect has the Right to Read Program	m had on pri	ncipals in	your district?
	(Mark one column per line)	[ncreased	No effect	
		(1)	(2)	(3)
	Time spent on administration of reading progra	ms		
	<pre>Interaction with teachers (e.g., increased    communication with regard to reading    programs)</pre>			
	Interaction with students (e.g., visiting classrooms)			
	<pre>Interaction with other administrators (e.g.,   increased participation in planning   reading programs)</pre>			



50.	What problems have arisen in the school district as a result of Right to Read? (Mark all that apply)
	No problem
	Dissatisfaction with Right to Read objectives
	Conflict between Right to Read objectives and other district objectives
	Teachers feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs
	Some teachers have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy
	Some principals have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy
	Other district administrators have been slow to accept the Right to Read Strategy
	District staff have resisted the leadership of the district Right to Read Director
	Other, specify
51.	To what extent have the problems been overcome? (Mark only one)
	(1) \[ \tag{11} have been overcome
	(2) Some have been overcome
	(3) None have been overcome
52.	How has Right to Read changed the variety of reading methods being used in your district? (Mark only one)
	(1) Expanded the number of methods being used
	(2) Restricted reading instruction to a few specific methods
	(3) Not Changed the number of methods being used
53.	What would happen to the Right to Read Program in your district if the State no longer received Right to Read funding? The district would: (Mark only one)
	(1) Continue the program without any resources being necessary
	(2) Continue the program using its own resources
	(3) Discontinue the program



54.	How can the Right to Read Program be improved in your district? (Mark the 5 most important improvements.)
	No improvements needed
	More staff support is needed for the district Right to Read Director to carry out responsibilities
	A larger budget is needed for the district Right to Read Director
	Better training is needed for the district Right to Read Director
	Better training is needed for the district reading teachers
	Better training is needed for the district principals
	More administrative guidelines are needed from the State Right to Read Program
	Better administrative guidelines are needed from the State Right to Read Program
	Fewer administrative guidelines are needed are needed from the State Right to Read Program
	Greater dissemination of information on the Right to Read strategy is needed from the State
	Greater dissemination of information on effective teaching methods in reading is needed from the State
	A stronger role in the district administration is needed for the district Right to Read Director
	More involvement and support are needed from parents and/or the community
	More involvement and support are needed from teachers
	More involvement and support are needed from principals
	More involvement and support are needed from district administration
	A better evaluation process and feedback are needed from the State Right to Read Program
	More workshops, consultants, in-service training, and other forms of technical assistance are needed from the State Right to Read
	Other, specify







55. Please rate the Right to Read Program in your State in the following areas: (Mark one box per line)

	Excellent	Much improvement needed	Nothing done in this area	I am not familiar enough with what has been done in this area
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Planning Right to Read Program	as	$\equiv$		
Assessing needs				لــا
Developing criteria of excellence	$\equiv$			
Training of district Right to Read Directors				
Technical assistance to district Right to Read Programs				
Disseminating information, materials				
Evaluating Right to Read Program				=
Supporting reading as a top priority				
Coordinating State and other non-Right to Read funds into the Right to Read effort		-		
Modifying non-Right to Read Programs to fit the Right to Read effort			<del></del>	







.υ.No.	r — —	-	1	i			ļ	- 1	
,	1 1	- 1	l l	•	•	1	!	- 1	
		1	_ : _		:-			1	_

O.M.B. No	51-575074
Approval Expir	res6/76

# DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT/ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT FOR INSTRUCTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Porse	onal and District Information
1.	When do you expect that every school in your district will be participating in the Right to Read Program? (Fill in only one)
	(School year)
	(1) All are now participating
	Not part of our present plans
	(5) Don't know
1.a.	Are there criteria that Right to Read schools in your district must comply with to be considered participating Right to Read schools?
	Yes Yes
	(1) No
٠.	it yes, please specify what they are.
_	How is school participation in Right to Read regulated? (Mark only one)
3.	selected for participation
	and the large manisted to participate
	aviet at this time
;,;	. If participation is voluntary, have any schools chosen not to participate in Right to Read?
	it. The Yes
	. If yes, for what reasons?
	. anat support and/or materials have been made available to Right to Read schools but not to others in the district?





			ropped any schools from participation in the Right to Read Program.
	(:)		Yes
	. 23		No
2.	if y	es, fo	r what reasons? (Mark all that apply)
			Failure to implement Right to Read objectives
			Lack of pressing need for program
			Other, specify
	Have incl	anv s uded y	schools volunteered to participate in Right to Read but not been ret?
	(11		Yes
	: `		No
÷.	16 3	es, iu	or what reasons? (Mark all that apply)
			Did not fit needs assessment categories
			Not enough resources to provide Right to Read Program to all buildings
			Other, specify
-	what	t is the	he average salary in your district for elementary teachers? (Mark only one)
			Under \$10,000 per year
			\$10,400-314,009 per year
	<b>3</b> .		515.300-513,000 per year
	<b>:</b> ,		527,000-524,999 per year
	5.		525,000 and over
<b>š</b> .	Wha	t :3	he average salary in your district for principals? (Mark only one)
			Under \$10,300 per year
			\$10,300-314,999 per year
			- - 513,499-319,399 per vear
			32),300-324,399 per year
	. 5		313,000 and over
. 1.		s the nutle	district receive any funds from National Right to Read for Right to Read sl
	1		- fws
	<b>:</b> .		
٠.	if	yes, h	now much was received from National Right to Read last year?
٠.	000 1200	s the	district receive any funds from the State (light to Read for Right to synthes)
	:		_ Yes
	:		] No
			now much was received from State Right to Read last year?
		,	



1	is to regul	ere a ar rea	special line item in the school district's hadget, apart from your ding budget, designated for Right to Read activities?
	117		Yes
	12.		Yes No
'n.	li ye	es, how	a much money is designated for Right to Read?
		5	
12.a.	Does the	the d	istrict Right to Read Director have responsibility for allocating expenditures of this money?
	(7)		ïes
	, 21		No
٠,.	If n	o, doe	s he make recommendations for expenditure allocations?
	:::		Yes
	•		No
1	\re	any fu	inds, other than Right to Read funds, used for Right to Read activities?
	::		Tes
	. 2:		No
			om what sources do they come? (Mark all that apply)
			State
			Local
			Federal
			Other, specify
14.	How	many i	hours per month do you spend on Right to Read activities? - Hours per month
: 7.	\$ 7.4	ii inic	does the district Right to Read Director neet with teachers or olved with other Federally funded instructional programs?
	;		Weakly
			Monthly
			tess than monthly but regularly
	;		Infrequently
			Never
	,		ponit know
::			. You rate the () insorthe district Right to Read Director received State Right to Read Program in order to carry out the responsibilities sition? (Mark only one)
			Very good
	:		- ∵aad
	:		n Phor
	:		-   Cun't sax



17.a.	reading for	ncipals approached you for in-service or worksnop training in themselves and/or their staffs since the district began is in Right to Read?
	111 E Y	res .
	(2)	;o
<sup>5</sup> 7.	If yes, how	many?
		Principals
13.	Is there a in Right to Res	Right to Read agreement/contract between your district and the State ad!
		i'es
		No.
19.	Please rank	order from 1 to 8 the following activities as to how essential they cess of the Right to Read Program in your district. Place a "1" t essential, "2" for the next most essential, to "8" for the least
	Kank	<u>Activities</u>
		?.anning and coordinating of all reading activities
		Assessing needs in the area of reading
		Establishing reading as a top priority Developing, identifying, validating reading programs and activities
		the functions performed by workshops and conferences
		Training teaching staff in area of reading program development including in-service and pre-service training, and many of the functions performed by workshops and conferences
		Receiving technical assistance from the State in the areas of needs assessment, planning, reading program development
		avaluating programs to determine how well they are reaching their objectives
: .	sencels?	sions are there in the district's Right to Read program for non-public (Mirk all that apply)
		Their personnel attend our Right to Read in-service training sessions and/or conferences
	,	They receive Right to Read curriculum materials
		They receive dissemination materials
		They have their own Right to Read Program
		They receive support services from our Right to Read Program
		Tagy participate in local Right to Read Advisory Council/Unit Task Force activities
		They do not participate in the Right to Read Program
		Other, specify
		None of the cove
		tate Bight to Read primarily: (Mark only one)
		Provide van with support and direction when needed in carrying out your profitm and its objectives
	-	Provide you with a complete program to adapt/adopt in your struct
	, "	provide little or no support of any type to the district $68$



	las and exceptance reading program been described by the State as one which you regard attitue in the development of your reading program?
	res. Please specify the program.
•	If the name you stilling this program in any way in your Right to Read Program? Mark only one:
	in the second se
	No. but we are planning to do so in sendor year
	1 No. it god not meet our district's needs
Nova3	Visessment
	tis i needs issessment been done in your district in the area of reading?
	Company of the Compan
	reading the section 25%
	is the means isservment specifically include. Mark all that apply.
	Te ichers' weeds
	Transpated negative
	Institutional needs
	This stage to device
	Wene of the above
	over the results of the needs assessment used? Mark all that apply)
	Conditing the district Right to Read plan
	[miliene d direction of the district Right to Read Program
	The control program materials and information
	- Section 1 content for support of Aigst to Read at Board
	The strain of the support of stron district reading of strains at Board of Laucation level
	The integration were then in the local district or other agency
	777 ( W. Strate & AWARE)
	To the itim, incline orderings
	1010 16 (11 SP2-11)
	The state of the state of the state of





11.1	٠,	٠.	n :	n.	ı t	i	ں	:

			More than six	+ i # 4 C 1 T	
			the past year t	he past year in	Not at all the past year
			(13)	(2)	(3)
	grining Mats	114.0			
		ons Materials			
	.urriculum Ma				
			e Right to Real	activities been	presented
- '	the state the	t the past carriage.	CHICKOTT THE		· # )
			More than six times in	times in	Not at all in the past yea
			the past year	the past year	
	i e i e nas	sletter or similar			(3)
	punituati	₽ <b>7.</b>			<del></del>
	ia, medin Talah dis	not sponsored by			
		-ponsored to energ			
	4147744			-	
	gr ventation Flotings	s at protessional			
	ing one mean	ings		·	<u> </u>
	respect spect	***	,		
1 .	*s determine	nus there been in now well your dis	strict Right to	Read objectives	are same meet
		ic.			
	-	•nem' Mark all ti	sar auni		
		State Right to Read	•		
		Local district	200		
		Mational Aight to			
-	-	one or modification by	ns made to your	district's Righ	t to Read Program
	and the set		nies made		
		Change in method of the disapproximation of the contraction of the con	f reading instru	iction, e.g., 33	reater emphasis
		change in staff . Pend personnel	inments or res	sponsibilities (	of local Right to
		MHU4Filation II l	· district Ri	the to Read copy	ectives
		other, sheriff			
		Not applicable			





# liftest of the Right to keas Program

277	What effect has the Right to Read Prog (Mark one box per line)	ram had on ut	titudes in vou	i district.
		Improved (1)	No Effect (2)	Norsened (3)
	Students' attitudes toward reading			<u></u>
	Teachers' attitudes toward reading instruction			<u></u>
	Principals' attitudes toward the reading program			
<b>3</b> · .	what other effect has the Right to Res , we your district? "Mark one box per "	nd Program had Line)	on arudent (4)	reading habits
		increased (1)	No Effect	Decreased (3)
	. A spent on reading			
	spars and or alternoon book asage			
			-	
·	anut other effect has the Right to Re Mark one box per line)	ad Program has	l on teachers	in your district?
		Increased (1)	No Effect (2)	Decreased (-3)
	Tire spent in teaching reading			
	Propiratory time for teaching reading			
	Venund for teacher dides			
	Interaction with colleagues  org., increased discussion of reading problems and instruct tional methods:		<del></del>	·
	Intojaction with administrators			
- ,	work reluing programs)  With other effect has the Right to R	ead Program no	ad on administ	rators in your
		Increased (:'	No Effect	Decreased (3)
	line spent on administration of colding programs			
	thriltion with teachers e.g.,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	interpretation with students (e.g., e.g.,			
	<pre>1 torsition with other auministrator</pre>			





it prop	lems have arisen in the school district as a result of Right to Read?
'lark dil	that apply. Dissatisfaction with Right to Read objectives
	Conflict between Right to Read objectives and other objectives
	Teachers feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of
	other programs
	Some teachers have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy
	Local district staff have resented the authority of the local district Right to Read Director
	Steer, specify
_ ,	None
or de la la Maria de	Right to Read changed the variety of reading methods in your district?
	expanded the number of methods being used
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	We expected reading instruction to a few specific methods
	Not changed the number of methods being used
្នា ខ្លួន វង្គ្រាក់ ខ្លួន	the Fight to Read Program be improved in your district? (Mark up to ems that would have the most impact)
	More Staff support is needed for the district Right to Read Director
	Better training is needed for the district Right to Read Director
	Better training is needed for the district reading teachers
	narrow training is needed for the district principals
	reater dissemination of information on the Right to Read Program and the teaching of reading is needed for teachers and/or principals
	A stronger role in the district administration is needed for the listrict Right to Read Director
	Thre involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from parents in indicate the community
	Thore involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from teneners
	Tom Dead are monied from
	The involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from the instrict deministration
	to seal of the terms
	technical sylvenic for
	Tore workshops, consultants, in-service training, and other forms of technical assistance are needed from the district Right to Read Program
	To The Right to Meda Program could be made more relevant to my needs To do no idministrator
	Stear, specify
	··





	In general, would you say th	2: the to	Read Proviam	in your distr	ict has been:				
		ie kight to	Noud (10g)	,					
	Very successful								
	Somewhat successfu	<b>!</b>							
	[3] Ineffective								
	(4) Unsuccessful								
٦.	Do you primarily attribute	this to: (M	ark all that	apply)					
	The funds provided								
	The content of the	program							
	The persons admini	stering the	program						
	The persons implem	enting the p	rogram						
	Other, specify								
<del>; •</del> .	Please rate the Right to Re Mark one box per line)	ad Program 1	n your State	in the follow	ring areas:				
		Excellent (1)	Some improvement needed (2)	Nothing done in this area (3)	Not familiar enough to say (4)				
		<del></del>							
	Planning Right to Read Programs		·	<u> </u>					
	Conducting needs assessment(s)	$\equiv$							
	Developing criteria of excellence								
	Training of district Right to Read directors								
	Providing technical assistance to district Right to lead Programs								
	Disseminating program naterials								
	Evaluating program								
	Supporting reading as a top priority								
	Coordinating State and Other non-Right to Read Finus into the Right to Read effort								
	Vodifying non-Right to Read reading programs to fit the Right to Dead effort								





				ļ	1	ł	ſ	ľ	1
t.D.	No.	i			!	1	1		
		1							1
		: 1	, ,						 

O.M.B No.	51-575074	
Approval Expires_	6/76_	

## PRINCIPAL/DIRECTOR QUESTIONNAIRE

	r Frogram														
1. How	many hour	sof	Right	to Re	ad t	raini	ng ha	ve yo	u rec	etved	(rom	the	Stabe	?	
	_Hours														
2.a. Are Ma	you now : irk all th	nemb stapp	er of	а гез	iding	asso	cıati	07/20	onci l	?					
	State														
	Local														
	Nation	al													
	None o														
b. [3	this memb	ership	are	sult	of yo	our ir	ivolve	ment	in th	e Rig	ht to	Read	i Prog	ram?	
	.:	] Yes													
	:. [_	] No													
	w many stu							.d.,1 * e		- acti	เลโไซ	narti	icinat	ing :	in your
21	aht to Rea	a Pro	zram.				1/01 3	idu i e s	,		,	<b>P</b>			•
(F	ill in the	appro	opria	te oux	es 01	= 10 -									
	Pre-	K	1	,	3	1	5	6	7	8	9	10	11_	12_	Other, specify
• Stude	School nts	1	<del>                                     </del>	-											
Involve	<del></del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-		<del> </del>	-	-		<u>!</u>	-		-	<del>                                     </del>	
Total * Student	s <sup>i</sup>	1							i	!					r
in Scho		<u>'</u>	1	<u> </u>		1		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u>'                                      </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>
4 Du	ring which ilding? (	acad	emic	years	has plv)	the R	ight	to Rea	ad Pro	ogram	been	func	tioni	ng in	your
5u	ituing, (	. TOLK		p	F-//								•		
	1972-1	.973												•	
Ξ	1973-1	974													
	1974-1	975													
	1975-1	976													
_	None o	of the	s <b>e</b>												
Flannin	ig and Mana	gemen	<u>t</u>												
5. Ho	w often do Mark only o	oes th	e dis	trict	Righ	t to	Read	Di <b>re</b> c	tor v	isit	your	schoo	1?		
	11	7 Per	тапеп	tly as	sign	ed to	my b	uildi	ng						
		.,	kly												
		_	thly												
	3.	- ] Sel	dom												
	.31	_ Nev	er												





<b>5</b> .	is spent in the following activities? (Fill in all relevant percents)
	t Observing classrooms
	; Showing State, district, or other personnel around
	Providing technical assistance to teachers
	Conferring with principal and/or other administrators
	S Other, specify
	5 Don't know
7.	Does your district Right to Read Program <u>primarily</u> : (Mark only one)
	Supply materials, staff, etc., to help you carry out your reading programs?
	Set specific firm guidelines for the conduct of a reading program?
	Do little or nothing to assist you in carrying out your reading programs?
Techn	ical Assistance
3.3.	Has the Right to Read Program in your building received any technical assistance in the areas of needs assessment, planning, or reading program development from the State Right to Read Program?
	(I) Yes
	(2) No
b.	If yes, who provided the technical assistance? (Mark all that apply)
	State Right to Read staff
	District Right to Read staff
	Other State administrative personnel
	Outside consultants
	Staff of teachers from other districts
	Other, specify
¥.	What kinds of technical assistance have been provided in your building as a result of the Right to Read Program in your district? (Mark all that apply)
	Provision of outside consultants to work with your staff
	Assistance for teachers who do not teach reading in the planning of curricula which incorporate the Right to Read strategy
	Provision of training sessions for teachers
	Provision of training sessions for administrators
	Assistance in needs assessment
	Assistance in avaluation
	Assistance in diagnostic/prescriptive approach
	Provision of curriculum materials
	Other, specify





10.1.	Have you attended any kight to kead tomtetences and/or workshops in the	,
	(1) Yes	
	(2) No	
<b>ხ</b> .	If yes, how many conferences/workshops did you attend last year?	
	Conferences/workshops	
11.a.	what percent of reading teachers (include elementary teachers who teach along with other subjects) in your building have attended any Right to conferences and/or workshops in the past year?	reading Read
ъ.	What percent of content area teachers in your building have attended an conferences and/or workshops in the past year?	y Right to Read
	1	
	Does not apply	
12.	What incentives are provided for teachers or staff to attend Right to R and/or workshops? (Mark all that apply)	ead conferences
	Released time	
	Professional growth points leading to salary increments	
	College credit	
	Certification requirement fulfillment	
	Reduced workload	
	Incentive pay	
	Other, specify	-
	None	
Disse	mination	
13.	What kinds of dissemination materials do you receive from the State or Right to Read Director? (Mark all that apply)	district
	Training/curriculum materials	
	Public relations materials	
	Other, specify	_
	None of the above	
Evalu	nation	
14.a.	Has any evaluation been conducted in your school to determine how well Right to Read objectives are being met?	the
	(1) Yes	
	(2) No	
	(If no, skip to question 16)	
ъ.	If yes, by whom? (Mark all that apply)	
	State Right to Read personnel	
	District personnel	
	Vational Right to Read personnel	
	Yourself	
	Other, specify	MANAGEM SCIENCE



15.	Were baseline data collected on studen Program in your district?	ts prior to	the beginning	ng of the Kigh	t to kead
	(1) Yes (2) No				
16.	How much participation have you had in (Mark one box per activity)	the follow	ing activiti	es in your sch	001?
	<u>Activities</u>	A gre of part	at deal icipation (1)	Some participation (2)	No participation (3)
	Planning the Right to Read Program	<b>.</b>			
	Conducting Right to Read activities				
	Providing independent assessments of the Right to Read Program				
	Analyzing progress data				
	Observing Right to Read classrooms				
	Revising or modifying the Right to Reprogram, based on evaluation or other data	ad			
	Changing administrative methods of the Right to Read Program	e	□.		
	Modifying school objectives to make them more measurable and specific				
	Other, specify	<del></del>			
Rffe	ct of the Right to Read Program				
17.	What effect has the Right to Read Prog (Mark one box per line)	ram had on	attitudes in	your school?	
	(Mark She box per 1110)	lmproved (1)	No Effec	Decreased (3)	
	Students' attitudes toward reading				
	Teachers' attitudes toward reading				
	Principals' attitudes toward the reading program				
13.	what other effect has the Right to Rea in your school? (Mark one box per lin	id Program h ie)	ad on studen	t's reading ha	bits
		Increased (1)	"· ffec	Decrease (3)	<u>d</u>
	Time spent in reading				
	Library and/or classroom book usage				
	<b>B.</b> (1977)				



	What other effect has the Right to Read Prog (Mark one box per line)	. am naa on ceac	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
		Increased (1)	No Effect (2)	Decreased (3)
	Time spent in teaching reading			
1	Preparatory time for teaching reading			
ı	Demand for teacher aides			
	<pre>Interaction with colleagues (e.g., increased discussion of reading    problems and instructional methods)</pre>			
	Interaction with administrators (e.g., increased communication about reading programs)			
). 1	What other effect has the Right to Read Prog (Mark one box per line)	ram had on you?	r	
		Increased	No Effect	Decreased
		(1)	(2)	(3)
•	Time spent on administration of reading programs			
	<pre>Interaction with teachers (e.g., increased communication with regard     to reading programs)</pre>			
	Interaction with other administrators (e.g., increased participation in planning reading programs)			
	How has Right to Read changed the variety of (Mark only one)	reading method	is in your distr	ict?
	(1) Expanded the number of methods	being used		
	(2) Restricted reading instruction	to a few speci	fic methods	
	(3) Not changed the number of meth	ods being used		
2.a.	Has Right to Read led to an increase in the	time spent in	the teaching of	reading?
	(1) Yes			
	(2) No			
<b>5</b> .	Has this increase been at the expense of the	teaching of o	ther subjects?	
	(1) Yes			
	(2) No			
٤.	If yes, what subjects? (Mark all that apply	)		
į	Mathematics			
i	English/language arts			
į	Social studies			
i	Science			
ļ	Physical education			
:	Industrial education			
	Home economics			
ļ	Business			
	Other, specify			





23.		problems have arisen in your building as a result of kight to kead:  all that apply)
		No problems
		Conflict exists between Right to Read objectives and other program objectives
		Teachers feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs
		Some teachers object to accepting the Right to Read strategy
		School staff resent the authority of the local district Right to Read Director
		Some teachers feel they are not prepared content-wise to carry on the Right to Read strategy in their classrooms
		Other, specify
24.	How C items	an the Right to Read Program be improved in your school? (Mark up to five that would have the most impact)
		More staff support is needed for the district Right to Read Director
		Better training is needed for the district Right to Read Director
		Better training is needed for the reading teachers in my building
		Better training is needed for the district principals
		Better training is needed for other teachers in my building
		Greater dissemination of information on the Right to Read Program and the teaching of reading is needed for teachers and/or principals
		A stronger role in the district administration is needed for the district Right to Read Director
		More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from parents and/or the community
		More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from teachers
		More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from principals
		More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from district administration
		Better evaluation process and feedback are needed from the State Right to Read Program
		More workshops, consultants, in-service training, and other forms of technical assistance are needed from the State Right to Read Program
		More workshops, consultants, in-service training, and other forms of technical assistance are needed from the district Right to Read Program
		The Right to Read Program could be made more relevant to my needs as a principal/director
		Other, specify



W. i	hat has your district done that was specifically geared toward involving n the district Right to Read Program?	you
-		
Wi	hat has your district done that was specifically geared toward involving n the district Right to Read Program?	teachers
-		
- -	hat effect(s) has there been in your building that can specifically be	
a _	ttributed to the Right to Read effort?	
_		
-		







-		
I.D. So.		

O.M.B. No.	51-875074	
Approval Expires_	h/76	

## TLACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

wh.	at grade levels do vou presently teach? (Mark all that apply)
	Pre-school
	Kindergarten
	lst-3rd grade
	4th-oth grade
	th-9th grade
	10th-12th grade
	Adult education
:. Но (М	w many credit hours have you taken or are you currently taking in reading? ark "O" if you have not taken any)
	mber of Credits
	(A) (B) mester Quarter
,,,	1975-1976
	1974-1975
	1973-1971
	1972-1973
	Prior to 1972
5.a. \r	e you presently a member of a reading association/council? (Mark all that apply)
	National
	State
	Local
	None of the above
h, is	this membership a result of your involvement in the Right to Read Program?
	(1) Yes
	121 No
School :	or Tragram Information
. Но Этт	s often in the past year have you met or talked with the Right to Read rector in your district? (Mark only one)
	[1] Mmost every day
	2) Weekly
	(3) Once or twice a month
	(1) Seldom
	(5) Never





5.a.	How	often does he/she visit your class? (Mark only one)
		(1) Almost every day
		(2) Weekly
		(3)   Once or twice a month
		(4) Seldom
		(5) Never
b.	Wha	t does he/she do during these visits? (Mark all that apply)
		Observes classroom
		Shows State, district and other personnel around
		Provides technical assistance
	_	Remediates instruction
		Other, specify
ó.J.		the Right to Read Program's goals and objectives agree with your teaching objective - rk only one)
		(1) Yes, almost all of them do
		(2) Some agree, some are in conflict
		(3) No, most of them conflict with my objectives
		(4) Don't Know
•	\re in	the methods of teaching reading espoused by the district's Right to Read Program accordance with your teaching methods? (Mark only one) $\frac{1}{2}$
		.1! Yes
		[2] Some are, some are not
		(3) No
	Doe	s the district's Right to Read program <u>primarily</u> : (Mark only one)
		Supply materials, staff, etc. to help you conduct your instruction in reading?
		Set specific firm guidelines for the conduct of your instruction in reading?
		Do little or nothing for you in the conduct of your instruction in reading?
3.	I s	the focus of your school's Right to Read Program primatily aimed at: (Mark only Oracional)
		[1] Gifted/high achievers in reading
		Average readers
		[3] Slow achievers in reading







## Training and Technical Assistance

<u> </u>	None 83
	Other, specify
	incentive pay
	Reduced workload
	Certification requirement fulfillment
	College credit
	Professional growth points leading to salary increments
	Released time
hut shops	incentives are provided for you to attend Right to Read conferences and/or work- ? /Mark all that apply.
	(PE-137) school year
	1374-1975 school year
	1975-1974 school year
	1972-1973 sensol year
recei	how many days of workshops, conferences, in-service training, etc., have you wed as part of Right to Read Program in the: (Fill in all that apply, mark fir ie)
	No technical assistance was provided from Right to Read
	Other, specify
$\equiv$	Staff from your own school
$\overline{}$	Other district personnel - Vational Right to Read staff
	Staff or teachers from other districts
	Outside consultants
$\equiv$	Other State administrative personnel
	State Right to Read Staff
_	District Right to Read director/staff
≉ho p	rovided the Right to Read technical assistance? (Mark all that apply)
	Never received any technical assistance from Right to Read
=	Other, specify
	Assistance in developing the diagnostic/prescriptive approach
	Evaluation of the school's Right to Read Program
=	Instructional materials and uids development
=	The teaching of subjects other than reading
	Curriculum development in the area of reading
	New and/or innovative approaches to teaching reading





13.	Now nelpful have the technical isc: 200 you to parry out your classroom activit	ies Mark	only one)	mops ween in energy	
	(1: Very helpful				
	(2) Helpful				
	(3) To effect				
	(4) Negative effect				
	3. Have not receiled any				
14.a.	Has anyone asked you to provide informable improved?	tion as to i	now the Righ	t to Read Pro-	
	111 Ja				
	11 No				
٦.	If yes, who? (Mark all than apply)				
	Princip ()				
	District Right to Read Sirector				
	Other, specify				
u <u>ífez</u>	t of the Right to Read Program		-		
u <u>ffez</u> 13.	t of the Right to Read Program  What effect has the Right to Read Program one box per line;	om had on a	- ttitudes in	your school? (Ma	rk
	What effect has the Right to Read Progr	Improved	No Offect	your school? (Ma <u>Worsened</u> (3)	rk
	What effect has the Right to Read Progr	Improved	No Effect	Worsened	rk
	What effect has the Right to Read Progrone box per line;	Improved	No Effect	Worsened	rk
	What effect has the Right to Read Programe box per line; Students' attitudes toward reading Teachers' attitudes toward reading	Improved	No Effect	Worsened	rk
	What effect has the Right to Read Programe box per line)  Students' attitudes toward reading instruction  Principals' attitudes toward the	Improved (1)	No Effect	Worsened (3)	rk
.5.	What effect has the Right to Read Programe box per line)  Students' attitudes toward reading instruction  Principals' attitudes toward the reading program  What other effect has the Right to Read	Improved (1)	No Effect (2)	Worsened (3)	rk
.5.	What effect has the Right to Read Programe box per line)  Students' attitudes toward reading instruction  Principals' attitudes toward the reading program  What other effect has the Right to Read	Improved (1)  Program had	No Effect (2)  and on student  No Effect	Worsened (3)  The second of th	rk
.5.	What effect has the Right to Read Programe box per line)  Students' attitudes toward reading instruction  Principals' attitudes toward the reading program  what other effect has the Right to Reading construction (Mick one now per line)	Improved (1)  Program had	No Effect (2)  and on student  No Effect	Worsened (3)  The second of th	rk





1.	what other effect has the Right to Bead (Mark one box per line)	Program had	in teachers	v. Sour scho 17	
		Increased (1	No Effect	Decreased (3)	
	Time Spent in teaching reading				
	Preparatory time for teaching aides				
	Demand for teacher aides				
	Interaction with colleagues (e.g., increased discussion of reading problems and instructional methods)				
	Interaction with administrators (e.g., increased communication about reading programs)				
; ∢.	whar other effect has the Right to Read Mark one box per line)	Program had	on administ	rators in your so.	hoc 1
		<pre>Increased (1)</pre>	No Effect	Decrease3	
	time spent on administration of reading or grows				
	<pre>.nteraction with teachers (e.g., increased communication with regard to reading programs)</pre>				
	interaction with students (e.g., visiting classrooms)				
	Interaction with other administrators (e.g., increased participation in planning reading programs)				
;∍,	what Other effect has Right to Read had	on your sch	iool? (Mark	all that apply)	
	It has led to greater experimentat	10n in new o	r innovativ	e methods of teac	ning
	It has led to standardization of to	eaching read	ing along g	uidelines establi:	shed
	it has led to increased number of	parent volum	teers in th	e school programs	
	it is a led to increased evaluation	of teacher	elfectivend	\$ 9	
	't has provided better, reasurab	"Ojectives	to conduct	a reading program	
	it has provided more effective too	is for asked	sing the ne	eds of students	
	Other, specify				
	None of the above				



	How many hours per week did you spend tea hing realing before highlito head.
	Hours per week
۶.	How many hours per week do you now spend teaching reading?
	Hours per week
٠.	If you spend more time teaching reading now, which subject(s) is de-emphasized? (Mark all that apply)
	No subject is de-emphasized
	Mathematics
	English/language arts
	Social studies
	Science
	Social studies  Science  Physical education
	Industrial education
	Home economics
	3usiness .
•	Other, specify
1.	If you are a content area teacher, how much time do you spend teaching reading?
	Hours per week
::.	what problems half arisem in your school as a result of Right to Read? Turk all that upply)
	Conflict between Right to Read objectives and other program objectives
	Some teachers feel reading is being emphasized at the expense of other programs
	Some teachers have been slow to accept the Right to Read strategy
	School staff have resented the authority of the district Right to Read Director
	Other difficulties in working with district Right to Read Director
	Other, specify



itens	that would have the most impact
	<b>;</b>
	More staff support is needed for the district Right to Read Director
	Better training is needed for the district Right to Read Director
	Better training is needed to the district reading teachers
	Setter training is needed for the district principals
	Petter training is needed for other teachers in my building
	Greater dissemination of information on the Right to Read Program and the teaching of reading meeded for teachers and or principals
	A stronger role in the district administration is needed for the district Right to Read Director
	More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from parents
	More involvement in and support of Right to Read are needed from teachers
===	More involvement in and support of Right to Read ar, needed from district administration
	Retter evaluation process and feedback are needed from the State Right to Read Program
	More workshop, consultants, in-service training, and other forms of technical assistance are needed from the State Right to Read Program
	More workshops, consultants, in-service training, and other forms of technical assistance are seded from the district Right to Read Program
	The Right to Read Program could be made more relevant to my needs as a teacher
	Other, specify,
	Don't Dnow
	our school benefited significantly in any way from being part of Right to Read? all that apply:
	Reading scores are up sharply
	Nuch fore enthusiasm for reading on the part of students
	More money and supplies are available
	Touchers are more effective in teaching reading
ر دور مساسر اد وسیست	Orner, specify





1.0.	No Approval Expires
	19CAL DISTRICT ADULT BASIC EDUCATION DIRECTOR QUESTIONNAIRE
1.	A: $ ightarrow$ many hours per month do you spend on Right to Read activities?
••	ours per month
	<del>-</del> · · ·
2.	the sources of funding for the adult literacy program? (Mark all that apply)
	Adult Basic Education funds
	General Educational Development funds
	Office of Economic Opportunity funds
	State Right to Read Program funds
	Local district Right to Read Program funds
	Other, specify
3.	Who is the local district Right to Read Director?
4.	How often 40 you and the local district Right to Read Director con: It on matters regarding the administration of adult reading programs? (Mark only one)  (1) At least weekly (3) Monthly
	(2) Two or three time: a month (4) Intrequently
	(5) Never
5.	Do you feel you understand the goals and objectives of the local district's Right to Read Program?
	(1) Yes
	(2) No
6.a.	If a district needs assessment of the adult population has been done, who planned it? (Mark all that apply)
	No needs assessment has been done
	State Right to Read Staff
	District Right to Read staff
	District Adult Basic Education staff
	State Adult Basic Education Staff
	Other State agencies, specify
	Other, specify
	Don't know
ъ.	How were the results of the nords assessment used? (Mark all that apply)
	For development of the district's Adult Basic Education Program
	For development of your district's Right to Read Program
	For public dissemination
	For deviloping funding allocation priorities
	Other, specify
	None of the above
	Don't know





To the many Record to Education teachers are there in your district.
terchers
3.a. How many Adult Basic Education teachers in your district received any technical assistance from the State of local district Right to Read Program in the areas of: (Fill in the number of teachers for each applicable area)
Needs assessment
New and/or innovative approaches to teaching reading
Diagnosis of reading problems
Corrigulum development in the area of reading
Incorporation of the Right to Read strategy in the teaching of subjects other than reading
Instructional materials development
Other, specify
b. was this technical assistance specifically geared for the instruction of adults?
/ Yes
(2) No
9.a. have you attended any workshops or conferences sponsored by Right to Read?
ites tes
(3) — No
n. If yes, how many workshops or conferences in the past year?
workshops/conferences
c. was adult literacy covered as a separate topic in these meetings?
(1) Yes
(2) No



).a. Has any evaluation been conducted in your district to determine the effectiveness of the adult component of the district's Right to Read Program?
(I) Yes
Carlo III No
o. If yes, by whom? (Mark all that apply)
State Right to Read personnel
State Adult Basic Education personnel
National Right to Read personnel
Local River to Read personnel
Local Adult Basic Education personnel
Other, specify
2. Was this evaluation: (Mark only one)
(1) Part of an overall Right to Read evaluation
A separate adult component evaluation
2. Did you receive the results of the evaluation?
(1) (2)
No No
e. Anit revisions or modifications of the literacy program in your district were nade as a result of evaluation data? (Mark all that apply)
Charge in method of reading instruction
Change in staff assignment or responsibilities of Adult Basic Edded on
Modification of objectives of Adult Basic Education Program
Ther, specify
None
In what ways has your program benefited significantly from being part of Right to Read
Much more enthusiasm for reading on the part of students
More money - I supplies the available
Teachers are more interested in teaching reading
Other, specity
Come of the spove



